arXiv:1608.02084v1 [math.QA] 6 Aug 2016

Gerstenhaber-Schack Cohomology for Hom-bialgebras and Deformations

Khadra Dekkar and Abdenacer Makhlouf

Abstract

Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras are generalizations of bialgebra and Hopf algebra structures, where associativity and coassociativity conditions are twisted by a homomorphism. The purpose of this paper is to define a Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology complex for Hom-bialgebras and then study one parameter formal deformations.

Introduction

The first instance of Hom-type algebras appeared in various papers dealing with q-deformations of algebras of vector fields, mainly Witt and Virasoro algebras, see for example [1]. Then Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov introduced and studied the concept of Hom-Lie algebra, which is a generalization of Lie algebra where the Jacobi condition is twisted by a homomorphism, see [18, 21]. Hom-associative algebras were introduced and studied by the second author and Silvestrov in [23], where it is shown that usual functors between associative algebras and Lie algebras extend to Hom-type algebras. Moreover, Hom-analogues of coalgebras, bialgebras and Hopf algebras have been introduced in [24, 25]. The original definition of a Hom-bialgebra involved two linear maps, one twisting the associativity condition and the other one the coassociativity condition. Later, two directions of study on Hom-bialgebras were developed, one in which the two maps coincide, they are still called Hom-bialgebras, and another one, started in [4], where the two maps are assumed to be inverse to each other, they are called monoidal Hom-bialgebras. In the last years, many concepts and properties from classical algebraic theories have been extended to the framework of Hom-structures, see for instance [3, 7, 8, 9, 17, 22, 27, 28, 31, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42]. The cohomology and deformations of Hom-associative algebra were initiated in [26] and then completed in [2].

In this paper, we define a cohomology complex for Hom-bialgebras, generalizing Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology in [13, 14], and then study one-parameter formal deformations. It is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall the basics on Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, and provide some concrete examples. Section 2 is dedicated to the study of Hom-type version of module over algebras (resp. comodule over coalgebras). Moreover, we discuss their tensor products. In Section 3, we define a cohomology complex for Hom-bialgebras, generalizing Gerstenhaber-Schack complex. Finally in Section 4, we study Hom-bialgebras 1-parameter formal deformation theory.

1 Definitions and Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall basics on unital Hom-associative algebras, counital Hom-coalgebras and Hom-bialgebras. We fix some conventions and notations. In this paper $\mathbb{k}$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, even if the general theory does not require it. Vector spaces, tensor products, and linearity are all meant over $\mathbb{k}$, unless otherwise specified. We denote by $\tau_{i,j} : V_1 \otimes ... \otimes V_i \otimes ... \otimes V_j \otimes ... \otimes V_n \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes ... \otimes V_j \otimes ... \otimes V_i \otimes ... \otimes V_n$ the flip isomorphism where $\tau_{i,j}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes ... \otimes x_i \otimes ... \otimes x_j \otimes ... \otimes x_n) = (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes ... \otimes x_j \otimes ... \otimes x_i \otimes ... \otimes x_n)$.
We use in the sequel Sweedler's notation for the comultiplication, $\Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$, and sometimes the multiplication is denoted by a dot for simplicity and when there is no confusion.

1.1 Unital Hom-associative algebras

Definition 1.1 *A Hom-associative algebra is a triple (A, μ, α) consisting of a $\mathbb{k}$-vector space A, a linear map $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ (multiplication) and a homomorphism $\alpha : A \to A$ satisfying the Hom-associativity condition*

$$\mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \mu) = \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha). \tag{1}$$

We assume moreover in this paper that $\alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$.
A Hom-associative algebra A is called unital if there exists a linear map $\eta : \mathbb{k} \to A$ such that $\alpha \circ \eta = \eta$ and

$$\mu \circ (\eta \otimes id_A) = \mu \circ (id_A \otimes \eta) = \alpha. \tag{2}$$

The unit element is $1_A = \eta(1_{\mathbb{k}})$.
Hom-associativity and unitality conditions (1) and (2) may be expressed by the following commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A\otimes A\otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu\otimes\alpha} & A\otimes A \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha\otimes\mu}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu} \\ A\otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{k}\otimes A & \xrightarrow{\eta\otimes id_A} & A\otimes A & \xleftarrow{id_A\otimes\eta} & A\otimes\mathbb{k} \\ \cong & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu} & & \cong \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & A. \end{array}$$

Remark 1.2

1. *We recover the classical associative algebra when the twisting map α is the identity map.*
2. *We have $\alpha \circ \eta(1_{\mathbb{k}}) = \eta(1_{\mathbb{k}})$ then $\alpha(1_A) = 1_A$ and $\mu(1_A \otimes 1_A) = 1_A$.*
3. *We call Hom-associator the linear map $\mathfrak{as}_A$ defined on $A^{\otimes 3}$ by $\mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \mu - \mu \otimes \alpha)$.*

Example 1.3

1. *The tensor product of two unital Hom-associative algebras $(A_1, \mu_1, \eta_1, \alpha_1)$ and $(A_2, \mu_2, \eta_2, \alpha_2)$ is defined by $(A_1 \otimes A_2, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\alpha})$ such that $\tilde{\mu} = (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) \circ \tau_{2,3}$, $\tilde{\eta} = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2$, $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2$, where $\tau_{23} = id_{A_1} \otimes \tau_{A_2 \otimes A_1} \otimes id_{A_2}$ and $\tau_{A_2 \otimes A_1} : A_2 \otimes A_1 \longrightarrow A_1 \otimes A_2$; $\tau_{A_2 \otimes A_1}(x_2 \otimes x_1) = x_1 \otimes x_2$, is the linear 'flip' map.*
2. *Given a Hom-associative algebra $A = (A, \mu, \alpha)$, we define the opposite Hom-associative algebra $A^{op} = (A, \mu^{op}, \alpha)$ as the Hom-associative algebra with the same underlying vector space A, but with a multiplication defined by $\mu^{op} = \mu \circ \tau_{A \otimes A}$, $\mu^{op}(x \otimes y) = \mu(y \otimes x)$.*

   A Hom-associative algebra (A, μ, α) is commutative if and only if $\mu^{op} = \mu$.

Let (A, μ, α) and (A', μ', α') be two Hom-associative algebras. A linear map $f : A \to A'$ is said to be a *Hom-associative algebras morphism* if

$$\mu' \circ f^{\otimes 2} = f \circ \mu \text{ and } \ f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f. \tag{3}$$

It is said to be a *weak morphism* if holds only the first condition. If further the Hom-associative algebras are unital with respect to η and η', then $f \circ \eta = \eta'$.
If $A = A'$, then the Hom-associative algebras (resp. unital Hom-associative algebras) are *isomorphic* if there exists a bijective linear map $f : A \to A$ such that

$$\mu = f^{-1} \circ \mu' \circ f^{\otimes 2}, \quad \alpha = f^{-1} \circ \alpha' \circ f, \tag{4}$$

$$(\text{resp. } \mu = f^{-1} \circ \mu' \circ f^{\otimes 2}, \quad \alpha = f^{-1} \circ \alpha\prime \circ f \quad \textit{and} \quad \eta = f^{-1} \circ \eta'). \tag{5}$$

Proposition 1.4 *Let $(A_1, \mu, \eta, \alpha), (A_2, \mu', \eta', \alpha')$ be two unital Hom-associative algebras. The maps* $\begin{array}{rccc} i_1 : & A_1 & \to & A_1 \otimes A_2 \\ & x & \to & i_1(x) = \alpha(x) \otimes 1_A \end{array}$ *and* $\begin{array}{rccc} i_2 : & A_2 & \to & A_1 \otimes A_2 \\ & y & \to & i_2(y) = 1_A \otimes \alpha'(y) \end{array}$ *are morphisms of unital Hom-associative algebras.*

Proof. First we check condition (3) for the map i_1. It holds if and only if

$$\tilde{\mu} \circ (i_1 \otimes i_1) = i_1 \circ \mu, \ i_1 \circ \alpha = \left(\alpha \otimes \alpha'\right) \circ i_1, \textit{ and } i_1 \circ \eta = \eta \otimes \eta', \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined as in Example 1.3. For all $x_1, y_1 \in A_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu} \circ (i_1 \otimes i_1)(x_1 \otimes y_1) &= \left(\mu \otimes \mu'\right)(id_{A_1} \otimes \tau_{A_2 \otimes A_1} \otimes id_{A_2})(i_1(x_1) \otimes i_1(y_1)) \\ &= \left(\mu \otimes \mu'\right)(id_{A_1} \otimes \tau_{A_2 \otimes A_1} \otimes id_{A_2})(\alpha(x_1) \otimes 1_{A_2} \otimes \alpha(y_1) \otimes 1_{A_2}) \\ &= \mu(\alpha(x_1) \otimes \alpha(y_1)) \otimes \mu'(1_{A_2} \otimes 1_{A_2}) \\ &= \alpha(\mu(x_1 \otimes y_1)) \otimes 1_{A_2} \\ &= i_1 \circ \mu(x_1 \otimes y_1). \end{aligned}$$

So the first condition is satisfied. For all $x \in A_1$, we have

$$\tilde{\alpha} \circ i_1(x) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha')(\alpha(x) \otimes 1_{A_2}) = \alpha(\alpha(x)) \otimes 1_{A_2} = i_1 \circ \alpha(x).$$

So the second condition is satisfied.
Finally

$$i_1 \circ \eta(1_{\Bbbk}) = 1_{A_1} \otimes 1_{A_2} = \eta(1_{\Bbbk}) \otimes \eta'(1_{\Bbbk}),$$

which shows that i_1 is a unital Hom-associative algebras morphism. Proof for i_2 is similar. ■

Proposition 1.5 *([35]) Let (A, μ, η, α) be a unital Hom-associative algebra and $\beta : A \to A$ be a weak morphism of Hom-associative algebra, i.e. $\beta \circ \mu = \mu \circ \beta^{\otimes 2}$, $\beta \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \beta$, and $\beta \circ \eta = \eta$. Then $(A, \mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu, \eta_\beta = \beta \circ \eta, \alpha_\beta = \beta \circ \alpha)$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra.*
Hence, we denote by β^n the n-fold composition of n copies of β, with $\beta^0 = id_A$, $\beta^n \circ \mu = \mu \circ \left(\beta^{\otimes 2}\right)^n$, then $(A, \mu_{\beta^n} = \beta^n \circ \mu, \eta_{\beta^n} = \beta^n \circ \eta, \alpha_{\beta^n} = \beta^n \circ \alpha)$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\beta \circ \alpha_\beta^{\otimes 2} &= (\beta\circ\mu)\circ(\beta\circ\alpha)\otimes(\beta\circ\alpha) = (\beta\circ\mu)\circ\left(\beta^{\otimes 2}\circ\alpha^{\otimes 2}\right)\\ &= \beta\circ\beta\circ\left(\mu\circ\alpha^{\otimes 2}\right) = \beta\circ(\beta\circ\alpha)\circ\mu = (\beta\circ\alpha)\circ(\beta\circ\mu)\\ &= \alpha_\beta\circ\mu_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Since β is a a weak morphism of Hom-associative algebra, so α_β is a weak morphism of Hom-associative algebra.
We show that $(A, \mu_\beta, \eta_\beta, \alpha_\beta)$ satisfies the Hom-associativity. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu_\beta(\mu_\beta\otimes\alpha_\beta) = (\beta\circ\mu)\circ(\beta\circ\mu\otimes\beta\circ\alpha) = \beta\circ\left(\beta^{\otimes 2}\circ(\mu\circ(\mu\otimes\alpha))\right)\\ &\overset{(1)}{=} \beta\circ\left(\beta^{\otimes 2}\circ(\mu\circ(\alpha\otimes\mu))\right) = \beta\circ(\mu\circ(\beta\circ\alpha\otimes\beta\circ\mu)) = (\beta\circ\mu)\circ(\beta\circ\alpha\otimes\beta\circ\mu)\\ &= \mu_\beta(\alpha_\beta\otimes\mu_\beta).\end{aligned}$$

The second assertion is proved similarly, so $(A, \mu_\beta, \eta_\beta, \alpha_\beta)$ is a unital associative algebra. ■

Remark 1.6 *In particular, if $\alpha = id_A$, one can construct a Hom-associative algebra starting from an associative algebra and an algebra endomorphism.*

1.2 Counital Hom-coassociative coalgebras

We define first the fundamental notion of Hom-coalgebra, which is dual to that of a Hom-associative algebra, in the sense that if we reverse all the arrows in the defining diagrams of a Hom-associative algebra, we get the concept of a Hom-coalgebra.

Definition 1.7 *A Hom-coassociative coalgebra is a triple (C, Δ, β) where C is a $\Bbbk$-vector space and $\Delta : C \longrightarrow C\otimes C$, is a linear map, and $\beta : C \longrightarrow C$ is a homomorphism satisfying the Hom-coassociativity condition,*

$$(\Delta\otimes\beta)\circ\Delta = (\beta\otimes\Delta)\circ\Delta. \tag{7}$$

We assume moreover that $\Delta\circ\beta = \beta^{\otimes 2}\circ\Delta$.
A Hom-coassociative coalgebra is said to be counital if there exists a linear map $\varepsilon : C \longrightarrow \Bbbk$ such that $\varepsilon\circ\beta = \beta$ and

$$(\varepsilon\otimes id_C)\circ\Delta = (id_C\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\Delta = \beta. \tag{8}$$

Conditions (7) and (8) are respectively equivalent to the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C\otimes C \\ {\scriptstyle\Delta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta\otimes\Delta} \\ C\otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta\otimes\beta} & C\otimes C \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Bbbk\otimes C & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon\otimes id_C} & C\otimes C & \xrightarrow{id_C\otimes\varepsilon} & C\otimes\Bbbk \\ \cong & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\Delta} & & \cong \\ C & \xleftarrow{\beta} & C & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Bbbk\otimes C & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon\otimes id_C} & C\otimes C & \xrightarrow{id_C\otimes\varepsilon} & C\otimes\Bbbk \\ \cong & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\Delta} & & \cong \\ C & \xleftarrow{\beta} & C & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C. \end{array}$$

Remark 1.8 *1. We recover the classical coassociative coalgebra when the twisting map β is the identity map.*

2. Given a Hom-coassociative coalgebra $C = (C, \Delta, \beta)$, we define the coopposite *Hom-coassociative coalgebra $C^{cop} = (C, \Delta^{cop}, \beta)$ to be the Hom-coassociative coalgebra with the same underlying vector space as C and with comultiplication defined by $\Delta^{cop} = \tau_{C\otimes C} \circ \Delta$.*

3. A Hom-coassociative coalgebra (C, Δ, β) is cocommutative *if and only if $\Delta^{cop} = \Delta$.*

Let $(C, \Delta, \beta))$and (C', Δ', β') be two Hom-coassociative coalgebras. A linear map $f : C \to C'$ is a *Hom-coassociative coalgebras morphism* if

$$f^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta = \Delta' \circ f \qquad and \quad f \circ \beta = \beta' \circ f. \tag{9}$$

It is said to be a *weak morphism* if holds only the first condition. If furthermore the Hom-coassociative coalgebras admit counits ε and ε', we have moreover $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \circ f$.
We say that a Hom-coassociative coalgebra (C, Δ, β) is *isomorphic* to a Hom-coassociative coalgebra (C', Δ', β') if there exists a bijective Hom-coalgebra morphism $f : C \longrightarrow C'$, and we denote this by $C \cong C'$ when the context is clear, such that

$$\Delta' = f^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta \circ f^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon' = \varepsilon \circ f^{-1} \ \ and \quad \beta' = \beta \circ f^{-1}.$$

Next, we describe the tensor product Hom-coassociative coalgebra construction.

Proposition 1.9 *Let $(C_1, \Delta_1, \varepsilon_1, \beta_1)$ and $(C_2, \Delta_2, \varepsilon_2, \beta_2)$ be two counital Hom-coassociative coalgebras. Then the composite map*

$$C_1 \otimes C_2 \overset{\Delta_1\otimes\Delta_2}{\longrightarrow} (C_1 \otimes C_1) \otimes (C_2 \otimes C_2) \overset{id_{C_1}\otimes\tau_{C_2\otimes C_1}\otimes id_{C_2}}{\longrightarrow} (C_1 \otimes C_2) \otimes (C_1 \otimes C_2),$$

where : $\tau_{C_2\otimes C_1} : C_2 \otimes C_1 \longrightarrow C_1 \otimes C_2$ is the linear 'twist' map, defines a Hom-coassociative comultiplication $\tilde{\Delta} = (id_{C_1} \otimes \tau_{C_2\otimes C_1} \otimes id_{C_2}) \circ \Delta_1 \otimes \Delta_2$ on $C_1 \otimes C_2$, and with counits ε_1 of C_1 and ε_2 of C_2. The map $\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_2 : C_1 \otimes C_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is a counit of $C_1 \otimes C_2$.

Definition 1.10 Tensor product $C_1 \otimes C_2$ *of two counital Hom-coassociative coalgebras $(C_1, \Delta_1, \varepsilon_1, \beta_1)$ and $(C_2, \Delta_2, \varepsilon_2, \beta_2)$ is defined by $(C_1 \otimes C_2, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\beta})$ such that $\tilde{\Delta} = (id_{C_1} \otimes \tau_{C_2\otimes C_1} \otimes id_{C_2}) \circ \Delta \otimes \Delta'$, $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_2$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$.*

Proposition 1.11 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra and $\beta : C \longrightarrow C$ be a weak morphism of Hom-coassociative coalgebra, i.e. $\Delta \circ \beta = \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta$ and $\varepsilon \circ \beta = \varepsilon$. Then $(C, \Delta_\beta = \Delta \circ \beta, \varepsilon_\beta = \varepsilon \circ \beta, \alpha_\beta = \alpha \circ \beta)$ is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra.*
Hence, we denote by β^n the n-fold composition of n copies of β, with $\beta^0 = id_C$, $\Delta \circ \beta^n = \left(\beta^{\otimes 2}\right)^n \circ \Delta$. Then $(C, \Delta_{\beta^n} = \Delta \circ \beta^n, \varepsilon, \alpha_{\beta^n} = \alpha \circ \beta^n)$ is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta_\beta &= \left(\beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}\right) \circ (\Delta \circ \beta) \\ &= \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \left(\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta\right) \circ \beta = \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta \circ \alpha \circ \beta = \Delta \circ \beta \circ \alpha \circ \beta \\ &= \Delta_\beta \circ \alpha_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since β is a weak morphism of Hom-coassociative coalgebra, so α_β is a morphism of Hom-coassociative coalgebra.
We show that $(C, \Delta_\beta, \varepsilon, \alpha_\beta)$ satisfies the Hom-coassociativity. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Delta_\beta \otimes \alpha_\beta) \circ \Delta_\beta = (\Delta \circ \beta \otimes \alpha \circ \beta) \circ (\Delta \circ \beta) = (\Delta \otimes \alpha) \circ \left(\beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta\right) \circ \beta \\ &= ((\Delta \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta) \circ \beta \circ \beta = (\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \circ \beta \circ \beta = (\alpha \circ \beta \otimes \Delta \circ \beta) \circ \Delta \circ \beta \\ &= (\alpha_\beta \otimes \Delta_\beta) \circ \Delta_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

The second assertion is proved similarly, so $(C, \Delta_\beta, \varepsilon, \alpha_\beta)$ is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra. ■
If $\alpha = id_C$, this proposition shows how to construct a Hom-coassociative coalgebra starting from a coalgebra and a coalgebra morphism ([25]). It is a coalgebra version of Proposition 1.5.

Theorem 1.12 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra and C^* be the linear dual of C. We define the maps $\mu : C^* \otimes C^* \xrightarrow{\rho} (C \otimes C)^* \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} C^*$, $\mu = \Delta^* \rho$, where ρ is defined by $\rho(f^* \otimes g^*)(m \otimes n) = f^*(m) g^*(n)$, and $\eta : \Bbbk \xrightarrow{\phi} \Bbbk^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^*} C^*$, $\eta = \varepsilon^* \phi$ where $\phi : \Bbbk \longrightarrow \Bbbk^*$ is the canonical isomorphism, and $\eta(1_\Bbbk) = 1_{C^*}$ where $1_{C^*}(x) = \varepsilon(x)$. The homomorphism $\alpha : C^* \longrightarrow C^*$ is defined as $\alpha(h) = h \circ \beta$. Then (C^*, μ, η, α) is a unital Hom-associative algebra.*

This is checked in exactly the same way as for Hom-coassociative coalgebras, as was done in [25, Corollary 4.12].
Conversely, does a unital Hom-associative algebra (A, μ, α) lead to a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra on A^*? It turns out that it is not possible to perform a construction similar to the one of the dual unital Hom-associative algebra, due to the inexistence of a canonical morphism $(A \otimes A)^* \longrightarrow A^* \otimes A^*$. However, if A is finite-dimensional, the canonical morphism $\rho : (A \otimes A)^* \longrightarrow A^* \otimes A^*$ is bijective.

Theorem 1.13 *[25, Corollary 4.12] Let (A, μ, η, α) be a finite dimensional unital Hom-associative algebra and A^* be the linear dual of A. We define the comultiplication by the composition*

$$\Delta : A^* \xrightarrow{\mu^*} (A \otimes A)^* \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} A^* \otimes A^* \text{ by } \Delta = \rho^{-1} \mu^*,$$

and

$$\varepsilon : A^* \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \Bbbk^* \xrightarrow{\psi} \Bbbk, \ \varepsilon = \psi \eta^*,$$

where ψ is the canonical isomorphism, $\varepsilon(f) = f(1_A)$ for $f \in A^*$, where $1_A = \eta(1_\Bbbk)$ and the homomorphism

$$\beta : A^* \longrightarrow A^*, \ \beta(h) = h \circ \alpha.$$

Then $(A^*, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra.

Such a construction could be extended to a so called finite dual.

Proposition 1.14 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ and $(D, \Delta', \varepsilon', \beta')$ be counital Hom-coassociative coalgebras, and let (A, μ, η, α) and $(B, \mu', \eta', \alpha')$ be finite dimensional unital Hom-associative algebras.*

1) *If $f : C \longrightarrow D$ is a Hom-coassociative coalgebras morphism, then $f^* : D^* \longrightarrow C^*$ is a Hom-associative algebras morphism.*

2) *If $f : A \longrightarrow B$ is a Hom-associative algebras morphism, then $f^* : B^* \longrightarrow A^*$ is a Hom-coassociative coalgebras morphism.*

Proof.
1) We verify that f^* is a Hom-associative algebra morphism. Let $d^*, e^* \in D^*$ and $c \in C$. where μ_{D^*}, η_{D^*}, and α_{D^*} are defined as in Theorem 1.12. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^* \circ \mu_{D^*}(d^* \otimes e^*)(c) = \mu_{D^*}(d^* \otimes e^*)(f(c)) \quad = \rho(d^* \otimes e^*) \circ \Delta_D \circ f(c) \\
&= \rho(d^* \otimes e^*) \circ (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta_C(c) \ (f \text{ is a Hom-coassociative coalgebra morphism}) \\
&= \rho(d^*(f) \otimes e^*(f)) \Delta_C(c) = \mu_{C^*}(f^*(d^*) \otimes f^*(e^*))(c) = \mu_{C^*}((f^* \otimes f^*)(d^* \otimes e^*))(c).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$f^* \circ \eta_{D^*}(1_{\Bbbk}) = f^*(\varepsilon_D) = \varepsilon_D(f) = \varepsilon_C = \eta_{C^*}(1_{\Bbbk}).$$

For $d^* \in D^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f^* \circ \alpha_{D^*}(d^*) &= \alpha_{D^*}(d^*)(f) = d^* \circ \beta_D(f) \\
&= d^* \circ f \circ \beta_C \ (f \text{ is a Hom-coalgebra morphism}) \\
&= f^*(d^*) \circ \beta_C = \alpha_{C^*} \circ f^*(d^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Then f^* is a Hom-associative algebra morphism.
2) We have to show that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B^* & \xrightarrow{f^*} & A^* \\
\Delta_{B^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_{A^*} \\
B^* \otimes B^* & \xrightarrow{f^* \otimes f^*} & A^* \otimes A^*.
\end{array}$$

Let $b^* \in B^*$, where Δ_{A^*}, ε_{A^*}, and β_{A^*} are defined as in Theorem 1.13. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta_{A^*} \circ f^*)(b^*) &= \Delta_{A^*} \circ (b^*(f)) \\
&= b^* \circ f \circ \mu_A = b^* \circ \mu_B(f \otimes f) \ (f \text{ is a Hom-associative algebra morphism}) \\
&= (f^* \otimes f^*)(b^* \circ \mu_B) = (f^* \otimes f^*) \Delta_{B^*}(b^*),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the commutativity of the diagram. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon_{A^*} \circ f^*)(b^*) &= \varepsilon_{A^*}(b^*(f)) = b^*(f)(1_A) = b^* \circ f(\eta_A(1_{\Bbbk})) \\
&= b^* \circ (\eta_B(1_{\Bbbk})) = b^*(1_B) = \varepsilon_{B^*}(b^*),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f^* \circ \beta_{B^*}(b^*) &= \beta_{B^*}(b^*)(f) = b^* \circ \alpha_B(f) \\
&= b^* \circ f \circ \alpha_A \ (f \text{ is a Hom-coassociative coalgebra morphism}) \\
&= f^*(b^*) \circ \alpha_A = \beta_{A^*} \circ f^*(b^*).
\end{aligned}$$

So f^* is a Hom-coassociative coalgebra morphism. ■

Proposition 1.15 *Let (C, μ, η, α) and $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be respectively unital Hom-associative algebra and counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra. The following statements are equivalent*

1. *The maps μ and η are morphisms of counital Hom-coassociative coalgebras.*

2. *The maps Δ and ε are morphisms of unital Hom-associative algebras.*

Proof. Let μ be a morphism of the Hom-coassociative coalgebra

$$\mu : (C \otimes C, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\beta}) \longrightarrow (C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$$

such that $\tilde{\Delta} = \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta \otimes \Delta$, $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$, $\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \otimes \alpha$, then the morphism μ satisfies Axiom (9)

$$(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta \otimes \Delta = \Delta \circ \mu, \text{ and } \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha) = \alpha \circ \mu. \tag{10}$$

And η is a morphism of the Hom-coassociative coalgebra

$$\eta : \left(\Bbbk, id_{\Bbbk,\Bbbk^{\otimes 2}}, id_{\Bbbk,\Bbbk}, id_{\Bbbk,\Bbbk}\right) \longrightarrow (C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha),$$

then

$$(\eta \otimes \eta) \circ id_{\Bbbk,\Bbbk^{\otimes 2}} = \Delta \circ \eta, \qquad \eta \circ id_{\Bbbk,\Bbbk} = \alpha \circ \eta, \tag{11}$$

by relations (10), (11) and α is a homomorphism, the comultiplication

$$\Delta : (C, \mu, \eta, \alpha) \longrightarrow (C \otimes C, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\alpha})$$

is a morphism of Hom-associative algebra, such that

$$\tilde{\mu} = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ \tau_{2,3}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \eta \otimes \eta \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes \alpha.$$

By the relations (10), (11) and α is a homomorphism, the counit

$$\varepsilon : (C, \mu, \eta, \alpha) \longrightarrow \left(\Bbbk, id_{C,\Bbbk^{\otimes 2}}, id_{C,\Bbbk}, id_{C,\Bbbk}\right)$$

is a morphism of Hom-associative algebra. ■

Lemma 1.16 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra and $f : C \to C$ be a linear map which commutes with β and satisfies $\beta \circ f = f \circ \beta$. Then*

1. $(\beta \otimes (id_C \otimes f) \circ \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes (\beta \circ f)) \circ \Delta$;

2. $(\beta \otimes (f \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta) \circ \Delta = (((id_C \otimes f) \circ \Delta) \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta$;

3. $((f \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta = ((\beta \circ f) \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$.

Proof. We have

1. The first equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\beta \otimes (id_C \otimes f) \circ \Delta) \circ \Delta = (id_C \otimes (id_C \otimes f) \circ (\beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \\
&\overset{(7)}{=} ((id_C \otimes id_C) \otimes f) \circ (\Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta \ = ((id_C \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta \otimes f \circ \beta) \circ \Delta \\
&= (\Delta \otimes (\beta \circ f)) \circ \Delta.
\end{aligned}$$

2. The second equality

$$(\beta \otimes (f \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta) \circ \Delta = (id_C \otimes (f \otimes id_C)\,(\beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$
$$\overset{(7)}{=} ((id_C \otimes f) \otimes id_C)(\Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta = ((id_C \otimes f) \circ \Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta.$$

3. The third equality

$$((f \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta \overset{(7)}{=} ((f \otimes id_C) \otimes id_C)(\Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta = (f \otimes (id_C \otimes id_C))(\beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$
$$= (f \circ \beta \otimes (id_C \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta) \circ \Delta = (f \circ \beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\beta \circ f \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta.$$

This finishes the proof ■

Lemma 1.17 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta))$ be a Hom-counital coassociative coalgebra and f be a linear map $f : C \to C^{\otimes m}$ satisfying $f \circ \beta = \beta^{\otimes m} \circ f$, then*

1. $((\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes n})(\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes (n-1)}) \circ f = \left((\beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \otimes (\beta \circ \beta)^{\otimes (n-1)}\right) \circ f;$
2. $\left(\beta^n \otimes \left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes f\right) \circ \Delta\right) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes m})\left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes f\right) \circ \Delta;$
3. $\left(\left(\left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right) \circ \Delta\right) \otimes \beta^n\right) \circ \Delta = \left((\beta^{\otimes m} \otimes \Delta)\left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right)\right) \circ \Delta.$

Proof. This proof is completely analogous to that of Lemma 1.16.

1. The first equality

$$((\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes n})(\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes (n-1)}) \circ f = \left(\Delta \otimes \beta \otimes \beta^{\otimes (n-1)}\right)(\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes (n-1)}) \circ f$$
$$\overset{(7)}{=} \left((\Delta \otimes \beta)\,\Delta \otimes (\beta \circ \beta)^{\otimes (n-1)}\right) \circ f = \left((\beta \otimes \Delta)\,\Delta \otimes (\beta \circ \beta)^{\otimes (n-1)}\right) \circ f.$$

2. The second equality

$$\left(\beta^n \otimes \left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes f\right) \circ \Delta\right) \circ \Delta = \left(\left(\beta^{n-1} \circ \beta\right) \otimes \left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes f\right) \circ \Delta\right) \circ \Delta$$
$$\overset{(7)}{=} \left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes (\beta^{n-1} \otimes f)\right) \circ (\beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = \left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes (\beta^{n-1} \otimes f)\right) \circ (\Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta$$
$$= ((\beta^{n-1} \otimes \beta^{n-1}) \circ \Delta \otimes f \circ \beta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \circ \beta^{n-1} \otimes \beta^{\otimes m} \circ f) \circ \Delta$$
$$= (\Delta \otimes \beta^{\otimes m}) \circ (\beta^{n-1} \otimes f) \circ \Delta.$$

3. The third equality

$$\left(\left(\left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right) \circ \Delta\right) \otimes \beta^n\right) \circ \Delta = \left(\left(\left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right) \circ \Delta\right) \otimes \beta^{n-1}(\beta)\right) \circ \Delta$$
$$\overset{(7)}{=} \left(\left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right) \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right)(\Delta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta = \left(f \otimes \left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right)\right)(\beta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$
$$= \left(f(\beta) \otimes \left(\beta^{n-1}\right)^{\otimes 2} \Delta\right) \circ \Delta = \left(\beta^{\otimes m}(f) \otimes \Delta\left(\beta^{n-1}\right)\right) \circ \Delta$$
$$= \left(\left(\beta^{\otimes m} \otimes \Delta\right)\left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right)\right) \circ \Delta.$$

This finishes the proof. ■
This Lemma will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Remark 1.18 *The following identity is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.17*

$$\left(\left(\left(\beta^{n-1} \otimes f\right) \circ \Delta\right) \otimes \beta^n\right) \circ \Delta = \left(\left(\beta^n \otimes \left(f \otimes \beta^{n-1}\right)\right) \circ \Delta\right) \circ \Delta.$$

1.3 Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras

The notion of Hom-bialgebra was introduced in [24, 25], see also [37].

Definition 1.19 *A* Hom-bialgebra *is a tuple* $(B, \mu, \eta, \alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ *in which* (B, μ, η, α) *is a unital Hom-associative algebra,* $(B, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ *is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra and the linear maps* Δ *and* ε *are morphisms of Hom-associative algebras, that is*

$$\Delta \circ \mu = \mu^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta^{\otimes 2} \text{ and } \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ \mu. \tag{12}$$

Remark 1.20 *1. ([24]) In terms of elements, condition (*12*) could be expressed by the following identities :*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(1_B) = 1_B \otimes 1_B, \quad \alpha(1_B) = 1_B, \text{ and } \beta(1_B) = 1_B, \text{ where } 1_B = \eta(1_{\Bbbk}), \\ \Delta(\mu(x \otimes y)) = \Delta(x) \cdot \Delta(y) = \sum\limits_{(x)(y)} \mu(x_1 \otimes y_1) \otimes \mu(x_2 \otimes y_2), \\ \qquad \varepsilon(1_B) = 1_{\Bbbk}, \quad \varepsilon(\mu(x \otimes y)) = \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(y), \quad \varepsilon \circ \alpha(x) = \varepsilon(x). \end{cases}$$

where the dot "·" denotes the multiplication on tensor product.

2. If $\alpha = \beta$ *the Hom-bialgebra is denoted* $(B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$.

3. Observe that a Hom-bialgebra is neither associative nor coassociative, unless $\alpha = \beta = id_B$, *in which case we have a bialgebra.*

Compatibility conditions could be formulated in a different way according to Proposition 1.15.

A *morphism of Hom-bialgebra* (resp. weak morphism of Hom-bialgebra) which is either a morphisms (resp. weak morphism) of Hom-associative algebra and Hom-coassociative coalgebra.

Example 1.21 *Let* G *be a group and* $\Bbbk G$ *the corresponding group algebra over* $\Bbbk$. *As a vector space,* $\Bbbk G$ *is generated by* $\{e_g : g \in G\}$. *If* $\alpha : G \longrightarrow G$ *is a group homomorphism, then it can be extended to an algebra endomorphism of* $\Bbbk G$ *by setting*

$$\alpha\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \alpha(e_g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_{\alpha(g)}.$$

Consider the usual bialgebra structure on $\Bbbk G$ *and* α *a bialgebra morphism. Then, we define a Hom-bialgebra* $(\Bbbk G, \mu, \Delta, \alpha)$ *over* $\Bbbk G$ *by setting:*

$$\mu\left(e_g \otimes e_{g'}\right) = \alpha\left(e_{gg'}\right), \quad \Delta(e_g) = \alpha(e_g) \otimes \alpha(e_g).$$

Combining Propositions 1.5 and 1.11, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 1.22 *Let* $B = (B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ *be a Hom-bialgebra and* $\beta : B \longrightarrow B$ *be a Hom-bialgebra morphism, then* $B_\beta = (B, \mu_\beta, \eta_\beta, \Delta_\beta, \varepsilon_\beta, \alpha_\beta)$ *is a Hom-bialgebra.*
Hence $(B, \mu_{\beta^n}, \eta_{\beta^n}, \Delta_{\beta^n}, \varepsilon_{\beta^n}, \alpha_{\beta^n})$ *is a Hom-bialgebra.*

Proof. According to Propositions 1.5 and 1.11, $(B, \mu_\beta, \eta_\beta, \alpha_\beta)$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra, and $(B, \Delta_\beta, \varepsilon_\beta, \alpha_\beta)$ is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra. It remains to establish condition (12) for B_β. Using $\mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu = \mu \circ \beta^{\otimes 2}$, $\Delta_\beta = \Delta \circ \beta = \Delta \circ \beta^{\otimes 2}$, $\tau \circ \beta^{\otimes 2} = \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau$, and the condition (12) for the Hom-bialgebra B, we compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_\beta \circ \mu_\beta = \Delta \circ \beta \circ \beta \circ \mu = \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta \circ \mu = \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \mu^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta^{\otimes 2} \\
&= \beta^{\otimes 2} \circ (\beta \circ \mu)^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta^{\otimes 2} = \left(\beta \circ \mu \circ \beta^{\otimes 2}\right)^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta^{\otimes 2} \\
&= \mu_\beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \beta^{\otimes 4} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta^{\otimes 2} = \mu_\beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \beta^{\otimes 4} \circ \Delta^{\otimes 2} = \mu_\beta^{\otimes 2} \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \Delta_\beta^{\otimes 2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that B_β is a Hom-bialgebra. ■

Example 1.23 (Hom-Type Taft-Sweedler bialgebra) *We consider T_2, the 4-dimensional unital Taft-Sweedler algebra generated by g, x and the relations $(g^2 = 1,\ x^2 = 0,\ xg = -gx)$. The comultiplication is defined by $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x$, the counit is given by $\varepsilon(g) = 1,\ \varepsilon(x) = 0$. Set $\{e_1 = 1,\ e_2 = g,\ e_3 = x,\ e_4 = gx\}$ be a basis.*
Pick any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, the map $\alpha : T_2 \to T_2$ defined by $\alpha(e_1) = e_1,\ \alpha(e_2) = e_2,\ \alpha(e_3) = \lambda e_3$, $\alpha(e_4) = \lambda e_4$ is a bialgebra morphism. Therefore, we obtain a Hom-bialgebra $(T_2)_\lambda$ which is defined by the following table that describes multiplying the ith row elements by the jth column elements.

	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	e_1	e_2	λe_3	λe_4
e_2	e_2	e_1	λe_4	λe_3
e_3	λe_3	$-\lambda e_4$	0	0
e_4	λe_4	$-\lambda e_3$	0	0

and

$$\Delta(e_1) = e_1 \otimes e_1, \Delta(e_2) = e_2 \otimes e_2, \Delta(e_3) = \lambda(e_3 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_3), \Delta(e_4) = \lambda(e_4 \otimes e_2 + e_1 \otimes e_4).$$

$$\varepsilon(e_1) = \varepsilon(e_2) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(e_3) = \varepsilon(e_4) = 0.$$

Example 1.24 *1. A unital Hom-associative algebra (A, μ, η, α) becomes a Hom-bialgebra when equipped with the trivial comultiplication $\Delta = 0$. Likewise, a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ becomes a Hom-bialgebra when equipped with the trivial multiplication $\mu = 0$.*

2. Let $(B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. Then so are $(B, -\mu, \eta, -\Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$, and $(B, \mu^{op}, \eta, \Delta^{cop}, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ where $\mu^{op} = \mu \circ \tau_{1,2}$ and $\Delta^{cop} = \tau_{1,2} \circ \Delta$.

Proposition 1.25 *Let $B = (B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a finite dimensional Hom-bialgebra. Then $B^* = (B^*, \Delta^*, \varepsilon^*, \mu^*, \eta^*, \alpha^*)$ is a Hom-bialgebra, together with the Hom-associative algebra structure which is dual to the Hom-coassociative coalgebra structure of B, and with the Hom-coassociative coalgebra structure which is a dual to the Hom-associative algebra structure of B, is a Hom-bialgebra called dual Hom-bialgebra of B.*

Proposition 1.26 *If B is a finite dimensional Hom-bialgebra, then B is cocommutative if and only if B^* is commutative, and B is commutative if and only if B^* is cocommutative.*

In order to define Hom-Hopf algebras, we define first a convolution product.

Proposition 1.27 *Let (A, μ, η, α) be a unital Hom-associative algebra and $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra. Then, the vector space $Hom(C, A)$ of $\Bbbk$-linear mappings of C to A equipped with the* convolution product *defined by*

$$(f * g)(x) = \mu \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta(x) \quad x \in C,$$

and the unit being $\eta \circ \varepsilon$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra with the homomorphism map defined by $\gamma(f) = \alpha \circ f \circ \beta$.

Proposition 1.28 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra and (A, μ, η, α) a unital Hom-associative algebra. Then the vector space $Hom(C \otimes C, A)$ and $Hom(C, A \otimes A)$ are unital Hom-associative algebras.*

Proof. Consider $C \otimes C$ with the tensor product of Hom-coassociative coalgebras structure (resp. $A \otimes A$ with the tensor product of Hom-associative algebras structure) and A with the Hom-associative algebra structure (resp. C with the Hom-coassociative coalgebra structure). Then it makes sense to speak about the unital Hom-associative algebra $Hom(C \otimes C, A)$ (resp. unital Hom-associative algebra $Hom(C, A \otimes A)$), with the multiplication given by convolution, defined by

$$(f * g)(x \otimes y) = \mu \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x \otimes y) \text{ where } \tilde{\Delta} = (id_C \otimes \tau \otimes id_C) \circ \Delta \otimes \Delta,$$
$$(\text{resp. } (f * g)(x) = \tilde{\mu} \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta(x) \text{ where } \tilde{\mu} = (\mu \otimes \mu)(id_A \otimes \tau \otimes id_A).$$

The identity element of the Hom-associative algebra $Hom(C \otimes C, A)$ is $\eta \circ (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) : C \otimes C \longrightarrow A$ (resp. the unit of Hom-associative algebra $Hom(C, A \otimes A)$ is $(\eta \otimes \eta) \circ \varepsilon : C \longrightarrow A \otimes A$). ■

Now let $(B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra.
An endomorphism S is an *antipode* if it is the inverse of the identity over B for the unital Hom-associative algebra $Hom(B, B)$ with the multiplication given by the convolution product. The unit being $\eta \circ \varepsilon$, (recall that concatenation denotes composition of maps).
The conditions may be expressed by the identities :

$$\mu \circ (id_B \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \mu \circ (S \otimes id_B) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ \varepsilon. \tag{13}$$

Condition (13) means that S is the convolution inverse of the identity mapping, that is,

$$S * id_V = id_V * S = \eta \circ \varepsilon.$$

Definition 1.29 *A* Hom-Hopf algebra *is a Hom-bialgebra with an antipode. It is denoted by the tuple $(B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$.*
Let H and H' be two Hom-Hopf algebras. A map $f : H \longrightarrow H'$ is a called a Hom-Hopf algebras morphism *if it is a Hom-bialgebras morphism.*

It is natural to ask whether a morphism of Hom-Hopf algebra should preserve antipodes. The following result shows that this is indeed the case. Let $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra. For any element $x \in H$, using the counity and Sweedler notation, one may write

$$\alpha(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon\left(x_{(2)}\right) = \sum_{(x)} \varepsilon\left(x_{(1)}\right) \otimes x_{(2)}. \tag{14}$$

Then, for any $f \in End_{\Bbbk}(H)$, we have

$$f \circ \alpha(x) = \sum_{(x)} f\left(x_{(1)}\right) \varepsilon\left(x_{(2)}\right) = \sum_{(x)} \varepsilon\left(x_{(1)}\right) f\left(x_{(2)}\right). \tag{15}$$

The convolution product of $f, g \in End_{\Bbbk}(H)$. One may write

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{(x)} \mu\left(f\left(x_{(1)}\right) \otimes g\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right) \quad x \in H. \tag{16}$$

Since the antipode S is the inverse of the identity for the convolution product, then S satisfies

$$\sum \mu\left(S\left(x_{(1)}\right) \otimes x_{(2)}\right) = \sum \mu\left(x_{(1)} \otimes S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right) = \varepsilon(x)\, \eta(1_{\Bbbk}) \quad \text{for all } \ x \in H. \tag{17}$$

Example 1.30 *Let $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra and $\beta : H \to H$ be a Hom-bialgebra morphism. Then, the antipode S satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ (id_H \otimes S) \circ \Delta &= \mu \circ (S \otimes id_H) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ \varepsilon \\ \beta \circ \mu \circ (id_H \otimes S) \circ \Delta \circ \beta &= \beta \circ \mu \circ (S \otimes id_H) \circ \Delta \circ \beta = \beta \circ \eta \circ \varepsilon \circ \beta \\ \mu_\beta \circ (id_H \otimes S) \circ \Delta_\beta &= \mu_\beta \circ (S \otimes id_H) \circ \Delta_\beta = \eta \circ \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(H, \mu_\beta, \eta, \Delta_\beta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$ is also a Hom-Hopf algebra.

Proposition 1.31 *Let $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$ and $(H', \mu', \eta', \Delta', \varepsilon', \alpha', S')$ be two Hom-Hopf algebras with antipodes S and S'. If $f : H \longrightarrow H'$ is a morphism of Hom-bialgebras, then*

$$S' \circ f = f \circ S. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Consider the Hom-algebra $Hom(H, H')$ with the convolution product, and elements $S' \circ f$ and $f \circ S$ from this Hom-associative algebra. We show that they are equal. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\left(S' \circ f\right) * f\right)(x) = \mu' \circ \left(S' \circ f \otimes f\right) \circ \Delta(x) = \mu' \circ \left(S' \otimes id_H\right)(f \otimes f) \circ \Delta(x) \\ &= \mu' \circ \left(S' \otimes id_H\right) \circ \Delta' \circ f(x) = \eta' \circ \varepsilon' \circ f(x) = \varepsilon'(f(x))\, \eta'(1_{\Bbbk}) = \varepsilon(x)\, \eta'(1_{\Bbbk}). \end{aligned}$$

So $S' \circ f$ is a left inverse for f. Also

$$\begin{aligned} &(f * (f \circ S))(x) = \mu' \circ (f \otimes f \circ S) \circ \Delta(x) = \mu' \circ (f \otimes f)(id_H \otimes S) \circ \Delta(x) \\ &= f \circ \mu \circ (id_H \otimes S) \circ \Delta(x) = f \circ \eta \circ \varepsilon(x) = \eta' \circ \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(x)\, \eta'(1_{\Bbbk}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \circ S$ is also a right inverse for f. It follows that f is (convolution) invertible, and that the left and right inverses are equal. ■

Remark 1.32 *1. Since α is a Homomorphism of Hom-bialgebra, so*

$$S \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ S. \tag{19}$$

2. The antipode S of a Hom-Hopf algebra is unique.

The next proposition gives some important properties of the antipode (see [4], [25]). We show that the antipode of a Hom-Hopf algebra is an anti-morphism of Hom-algebras and anti-morphism of Hom-coalgebras. This means that $S : H \longrightarrow H^{op}$ is a Hom-algebra morphism and $S : H \longrightarrow H^{cop}$ is a Hom-coalgebra morphism.

Proposition 1.33 *Let $H = (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with antipode S. Then the following identities hold*

1. $S(x \cdot y) = S(y) \cdot S(x)$ *or* $S \circ \mu = \mu \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \tau$;
2. $S \circ \eta = \eta$;
3. $\Delta(S(x)) = S\left(x_{(2)}\right) \otimes S\left(x_{(1)}\right)$ *or* $\Delta \circ S = (S \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta$;
4. $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$.

Proof. (1) Consider $H \otimes H$ with the tensor product of Hom-coassociative coalgebra structure $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$, H with the Hom-associative algebra structure μ and a Hom-associative algebra $Hom(H \otimes H, H)$ with the multiplication given by convolution, (see Proposition 1.28)

$$f * g(x \otimes y) = \mu \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(x \otimes y),$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha = \tilde{\Delta} \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$ is defined in Definition 1.10.
Consider the maps $F, G : H \otimes H \longrightarrow H$ defined by

$$F(x \otimes y) = S(y) \cdot S(x), \; G(x \otimes y) = S(x \cdot y)$$

for all x, $y \in H$. We show that μ is a left inverse (with respect to convolution) for F, and a right inverse for G. Indeed, for x, $y \in H$ we have

$$\mu * F(x \otimes y) = \mu \circ (\mu \otimes F) \circ \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(x \otimes y) = \sum_{(x),(y)} \mu\left((\alpha \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y)_{(1)}\right) \cdot F\left((\alpha \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y)_{(2)}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{(x),(y)} \mu\left(\alpha\left(x_{(1)}\right) \otimes \alpha\left(y_{(1)}\right)\right) \cdot F\left(\alpha\left(x_{(2)}\right) \otimes \alpha\left(y_{(2)}\right)\right)$$

$$\overset{(19)}{=} \sum_{(x),(y)} \left(\left(\alpha\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(y_{(1)}\right)\right) \cdot \alpha \circ \left(S\left(y_{(2)}\right) \cdot S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\overset{(1)}{=} \sum_{(x),(y)} \alpha^2 \circ \left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(\alpha\left(y_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(S\left(y_{(2)}\right) \cdot S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{(x),(y)} \alpha^2 \circ \left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(\left(y_{(1)} \cdot S\left(y_{(2)}\right)\right) \cdot \alpha\left(S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right)\right) = \sum_{(x)} \alpha^2 \circ \left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left((\eta \circ \varepsilon(y)) \cdot \alpha\left(S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{(x)} \alpha^2 \circ \left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(\eta(1_{\Bbbk}) \cdot \alpha\left(S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right)\right) \varepsilon(y) = \sum_{(x)} \left(\alpha^2 \circ \left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \alpha^2 \circ \left(S\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right)\right) \varepsilon(y)$$

$$= \alpha^2 \circ \eta \circ \varepsilon(x) \varepsilon(y) = \eta_H \circ \varepsilon_{H \otimes H}(x \otimes y).$$

It shows that $\mu * F = \eta_H \circ \varepsilon_{H\otimes H}$,

$$G * \mu (x \otimes y) = \mu \circ (G \otimes \alpha \circ \mu) \circ \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha (x \otimes y) = \sum_{x\otimes y} G\left((\alpha \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y)_{(1)}\right) \cdot \mu\left((\alpha \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y)_{(2)}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{(x),(y)} G\left(\alpha\left(x_{(1)}\right) \otimes \alpha\left(y_{(1)}\right)\right) \cdot \mu\left(\alpha\left(x_{(2)}\right) \otimes \alpha\left(y_{(2)}\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{(x),(y)} S\left(\alpha\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(y_{(1)}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\alpha\left(x_{(2)}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(y_{(2)}\right)\right) = \alpha \circ \left(\sum_{(x),(y)} S\left(x_{(1)} \cdot y_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(x_{(2)} \cdot y_{(2)}\right)\right)$$

$$= \alpha \circ \left(\sum_{(x),(y)} S\left((x \cdot y)_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left((x \cdot y)_{(2)}\right)\right) = \alpha \circ \left(\sum_{xy} S\left((x \cdot y)_{(1)}\right) \cdot \left((x \cdot y)_{(2)}\right)\right)$$

$$= \alpha \circ \eta_H \circ \varepsilon_{H\otimes H}(x \otimes y) = \eta_H \circ \varepsilon_{H\otimes H}(x \otimes y),$$

and $G * \mu = \eta_H \circ \varepsilon_{H\otimes H}$. Hence μ is a left inverse for F and a right inverse for G in a Hom-associative algebra, and therefore $F = G$. This means that i) holds.
(2) See ([4], [24]).
(3)We use the same technique that we applied in part (i). We consider the linear maps

$$Q : H \longrightarrow H \otimes H \text{ and } R : H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$$

in the convolution Hom-associative algebra $Hom(H, H \otimes H)$ (see Corollary 1.28) where $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha = \alpha \circ \tilde{\mu}$ is defined in Exemple 1.3.

$$f * g(x) = \tilde{\mu}_\alpha \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta(x)$$

given by

$$Q(x) = S(x)_1 \otimes S(x)_2 \text{ and } R(x) = S(x_2) \otimes S(x_1)$$

for all $x \in H$. We will again prove that $Q = R$ by showing that Q and R are both the convolution inverse of $\Delta : H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$.
(4) See ([4], [24]). ■

Proposition 1.34 *Let $H = (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with antipode S. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $\sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(2)}\right) x_{(1)} = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$ *for any* $x \in H$. *Or* $\mu \circ (S \otimes id_H) \circ \tau \circ \Delta = \varepsilon \circ \eta$;
2. $\sum_{(x)} x_{(2)} S\left(x_{(1)}\right) = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$ *for any* $x \in H$. *Or* $\mu \circ (id_H \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta = \varepsilon \circ \eta$;
3. $S^2 = id_H$ *(by* S^2 *we mean the composition of* S *with itself).*

Proof. (1)$\Longrightarrow$(3) We know that id_H is inverse of S with respect to convolution. We show that S^2 is a right convolution inverse of S, and by the uniqueness of the inverse it will follow that $S^2 = id_H$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(S * S^2\right)(x) &= \mu \circ \left(S \otimes S^2\right) \circ \Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot S^2\left(x_{(2)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{(x)} S\left(S\left(x_{(2)}\right) \cdot \left(x_{(1)}\right)\right) \text{ (S is an anti-morphism of Hom-associative algebras)} \\
&= S(\varepsilon(x) 1_H) = \varepsilon(x) \eta(1_{\Bbbk}) = \eta \circ \varepsilon(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that indeed $S * S^2 = \eta \circ \varepsilon$.
(3)$\Longrightarrow$(2) We know that $\sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \cdot S\left(x_{(2)}\right) = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$. Applying the anti-morphism of Hom-associative algebra S, we obtain $\sum_{(x)} S^2\left(x_{(2)}\right) \cdot S\left(x_{(1)}\right) = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$. Since $S^2 = id_H$, this becomes $\sum_{(x)} x_{(2)} \cdot S\left(x_{(1)}\right) = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$.
(2)$\Longrightarrow$(3) We proceed as in (1)$\Longrightarrow$(3), and show that $S^2 = id_H$ is a left convolution inverse for S. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(S^2 * S\right)(x) &= \mu \circ \left(S^2 \otimes S\right) \circ \Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} S^2\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot S\left(x_{(2)}\right) \\ &= S\left(\sum_{(x)} x_{(2)} \cdot S\left(x_{(1)}\right)\right) = S\left(\varepsilon(x) 1_H\right) = \eta \circ \varepsilon(x).\end{aligned}$$

(3)$\Longrightarrow$(1) We apply S to the equation $\sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot x_{(2)} = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$, and using $S^2 = id_H$ we obtain $\sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(2)}\right) \cdot x_{(1)} = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$. ■

Corollary 1.35 *Let H be a commutative or cocommutative Hom-Hopf algebra then $S^2 = id_H$.*

Proof. If H is commutative ($\mu(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$), then by $\sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot x_{(2)} = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$, it follows that $\sum_{(x)} x_{(2)} \cdot S\left(x_{(1)}\right) = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$, i.e. (2) from the preceding proposition.
If H is cocommutative, then

$$\sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} = x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)},$$

and then by $\sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(1)}\right) \cdot x_{(2)} = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$, it follows that $\sum_{(x)} S\left(x_{(2)}\right) \cdot x_{(1)} = \varepsilon(x) 1_H$, i.e. (1) from the preceding proposition. ■

Remark 1.36 *Let $H = (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with antipode S. Then the Hom-bialgebra $H^{op,cop} = (H, \mu^{op}, \eta, \Delta^{cop}, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra with the same antipode S.*

In Proposition 1.25, we claim that if H is a finite dimensional Hom-bialgebra, then its dual is a Hom-bialgebra. The following result shows that if H is a Hom-Hopf algebra, then its dual also has a Hom-Hopf algebra structure.

Proposition 1.37 *Let $H =$ be a finite dimensional Hom-Hopf algebra, with antipode S. Then the Hom-bialgebra H^* is a Hom-Hopf algebra, with antipode S^*.*

Proof. We know already that H^* is a Hom-bialgebra. We therefore need only show that S^* is the antipode of H^*. To this end, we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu_{H^*} \circ \left(S^* \otimes id_{H^*}\right) \circ \Delta_{H^*}(f^*) = \left(\rho \circ \left(S^* \otimes id_{H^*}\right) \circ \Delta_H\right)\left(f^* \circ \mu_H\right) = \left(S \otimes id_H\right)^* \Delta_H\left(f^* \circ \mu_H\right) \\ &= \left(f^* \circ \mu_H\right)\left(S \otimes id_H\right) \circ \Delta_H = \left(\rho \circ f^* \circ \eta_H \circ \varepsilon_H\right) = \eta_{H^*} \circ \varepsilon_{H^*}(f^*),\end{aligned}$$

using $\rho^{-1}\left(S \otimes id_H\right)^* \rho = \left(S^* \otimes id_{H^*}\right)$.
Similarly,

$$\mu_{H^*} \circ \left(id_{H^*} \otimes S^*\right) \circ \Delta_{H^*}(f^*) = \eta_{H^*} \circ \varepsilon_{H^*}(f^*).$$

For all $f^* \in H^*$, since $\varepsilon_{H^*}(f^*) = f^*(1_H) = f^* \circ \eta_H(1_{\Bbbk})$, and $\eta_{H^*}(1_{\Bbbk}) = 1_{H^*} = \varepsilon$, we have that S^* is the convolution inverse of id_{H^*} and therefore S^* is the antipode of H^*. ■

2 Modules and Comodules of Hom-Hopf algebras

In this section, we recall the definitions of modules and comodules over Hom-associative algebras and study their tensor products.
The definitions of action and coaction are simply a polarisation of those of Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, so we include them now among the basic definitions.
A Hom-module is a pair (M, α) in which M is a vector space and $\alpha : M \longrightarrow M$ is a linear map [37]. A morphism $(M, \alpha_M) \longrightarrow (N, \alpha_N)$ of Hom-modules is a linear map $f : M \longrightarrow N$ such that $\alpha_N \circ f = f \circ \alpha_M$. We will often abbreviate a Hom-module (M, α) to M . The tensor product of Hom-modules (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) consists of the vector space $M \otimes N$ and the linear self-map $\alpha_M \otimes \alpha_N$.

2.1 Modules over Hom-associative algebras

Let $A = (A, \mu_A, \eta_A, \alpha_A)$ be a unital Hom-associative algebra and (M, α_M) be a Hom-module.
The vector space M is called a *left A-module* (or left module over Hom-algebra A) if there exists a morphism $\lambda_l : A \otimes M \longrightarrow M$ of Hom-modules, written as $\lambda_l (a \otimes m) = a \triangleright m$, called the structure map, such that

$$\lambda_l \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \lambda_l) = \lambda_l \circ (\mu_A \otimes \alpha_M) \quad and \quad \lambda_l \circ (\eta_A \otimes id_M) = \alpha_M, \tag{20}$$

or, equivalently, that for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$

$$\alpha_A (a) \triangleright (b \triangleright m) = (ab) \triangleright \alpha_M (m) \quad and \quad 1 \triangleright m = \alpha_M (m),$$

where the first identity of (20) acts on $A \otimes A \otimes M$ and the second of (20) on $\Bbbk \otimes M \simeq M$. The map λ_l is then called left action of A on M.
If (M, α_M) and $(M', \alpha_{M'})$ are left A-modules, then a *morphism of left A-modules* $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ is a morphism of the underlying Hom-modules such that

$$f \circ \lambda_l = \lambda'_l \circ (id_A \otimes f) \qquad or \qquad f (a \triangleright m) = a \triangleright f (m). \tag{21}$$

If f is invertible, it is a left A-modules *isomorphism*.
A *right A-module* (or right module over Hom-associative algebra A) is a vector space M with a morphism $\lambda_r : M \otimes A \longrightarrow M$ of Hom-modules, right action of A on M and written as $\lambda_r (m \otimes a) = m \triangleleft a$, such that

$$\lambda_r \circ (\lambda_r \otimes \alpha_A) = \lambda_r \circ (\alpha_M \otimes \mu_A) \quad and \quad \lambda_r \circ (id_M \otimes \eta_A) = \alpha_M \tag{22}$$

The two conditions on a right A-module (22) can be expressed as for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$

$$(m \triangleleft a) \triangleleft \alpha_A (b) = \alpha_M (m) \triangleleft (ab) \quad and \quad m \triangleleft 1 = \alpha_M (m)$$

or as the commutativity of the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_M \otimes \mu_A} & M \otimes A \\ \lambda_r \otimes \alpha_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_r \\ M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\lambda_r} & M \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes \Bbbk & \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} & M \otimes \Bbbk \\ id_M \otimes \eta \downarrow & & \cong \\ M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\lambda_r} & M \end{array}$$

The map λ_r is then called right action of A on M. We will often denote a left or right A-module by (M, λ, α_M) and refer to λ as the left or right action of A on M.

Example 2.1 *If A is a unital Hom-associative algebra, then we may consider A as either a left or right A-module where the action is given by the multiplication μ.*

If M and M' are right A-modules, then a morphism of right A-module $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ if

$$f \circ \lambda_r = \lambda'_r \circ (f \otimes id_A) \qquad or \qquad f(m \lhd a) = f(m) \lhd a. \tag{23}$$

If f is invertible, it is an *isomorphism of right A-modules.*

Proposition 2.2 *A right A-module is nothing else than a left module over the opposite unital Hom-associative algebra A^{op}. Therefore we need only consider left modules which shall for simplicity be called Hom-module in the sequel.*

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_r^{op} \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \lambda_r^{op})(x \otimes y \otimes m) = \lambda_r^{op} \circ (\alpha_A(x) \otimes \lambda_r^{op}(m \otimes y)) \\
&= \lambda_r \circ (\lambda_r(m \otimes y) \otimes \alpha_A(x)) = \lambda_r \circ (\alpha_M(m) \otimes \mu(y \otimes x)) \\
&= \lambda_r^{op} \circ (\mu^{op}(x \otimes y) \otimes \alpha_M(m)) = \lambda_r^{op} \circ (\mu^{op} \otimes \alpha_M)(x \otimes y \otimes m).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 2.3 *Let (A, μ, η, α_A) be a unital Hom-associative algebra and (M, λ_l, α_M) be a left A-module Then $\left(M, \alpha_M \circ \lambda_l, \alpha_M^2\right)$ is another left A-module over unital Hom-associative algebra $(A, \mu_\alpha, \eta_\alpha, \alpha_A^2)$ defined in Proposition 1.5.*

Proof. Straightforward. ■

A vector space M is called an *A-bimodule* if M is both a left A-module with action $a \rhd m$ and a right A-module with action $m \lhd a$ satisfying the compatibility condition

$$(a \rhd m) \lhd \alpha_A(b) = \alpha_A(a) \rhd (m \lhd b),$$

for $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$. Or

$$\lambda_r \circ (\lambda_l \otimes \alpha_A) = \lambda_l \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \lambda_r). \tag{24}$$

Then, we refer to the tuple $(M, \lambda_l, \lambda_r, \alpha_M)$ for an A-bimodule M.
If M and M' are A-bimodules, a map $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ is a *morphism of A-bimodules* if it is a morphism of both left A-module and right A-module.
Every Hom-associative algebra (A, μ_A, α_A) is an A-bimodule with $\lambda_l = \lambda_r = \mu_A$.

Example 2.4 *Let $M' = V \otimes M \otimes V$, and consider structure maps $\lambda_l^\mu = \mu \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V$ and $\lambda_r^\mu = \alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu$. Then, $\left(V \otimes M \otimes V, \lambda_l^\mu, \lambda_r^\mu\right)$ is an exterior A-bimodule; λ_l^μ and λ_r^μ are called exterior bimodule structure maps.*
Indeed, the left A-module axioms for λ_l^μ now follows from that of λ_l and the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_l^\mu \circ \left(\alpha_V \otimes \lambda_l^\mu\right) &= (\mu \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V) \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \mu \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V) \\
&= \mu \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \mu) \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha_V^{\otimes 2} \\
&\overset{(1)}{=} \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha_V) \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha_V^{\otimes 2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_l^\mu \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha_{V\otimes M\otimes V}) &= (\mu \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V) \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V)\\ &= \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha_V) \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha_V^{\otimes 2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_l^\mu \circ (\eta \otimes id_{V\otimes M\otimes V}) &= (\mu \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V) \circ (\eta \otimes id_{V\otimes M\otimes V})\\ &\overset{(2)}{=} (\mu \circ (\eta \otimes id_V) \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V) = \alpha_{V\otimes M\otimes V}.\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, the right A-module axioms for λ_r^μ *follow from that of* λ_r *and the identity* $\lambda_r \circ (id_M \otimes \eta) = \alpha_M$.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r^\mu \circ (\lambda_r^\mu \otimes \alpha_V) &= (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu) \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu \otimes \alpha_V)\\ &= \alpha_V^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha_V)\\ &= \alpha_V^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mu \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \mu) \quad \textit{by (1)}\\ \lambda_r^\mu \circ \left(\alpha_{V\otimes M\otimes V} \otimes \mu\right) &= (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu) \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V \otimes \mu)\\ &= \alpha_V^{\otimes 2} \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mu \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \mu),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r^\mu \circ (id_{V\otimes M\otimes V} \otimes \eta) &= (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu) \circ (id_{V\otimes M\otimes V} \otimes \eta)\\ &= \alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu \circ (id_V \otimes \eta)\\ &\overset{(2)}{=} \alpha_{V\otimes M\otimes V}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, compatibility conditions (24) *follows from the following calculation*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r^\mu \circ \left(\lambda_l^\mu \otimes \alpha_V\right) &= (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \mu) \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_V \otimes \alpha_V)\\ &= \alpha_V \circ \mu \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mu \circ \alpha_V\\ &= \mu \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_V) \otimes \alpha_M^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_V) \circ \mu\\ &= \lambda_l^\mu \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \lambda_r^\mu).\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $\left(V \otimes M \otimes V, \lambda_l^\mu, \lambda_r^\mu\right)$ *is an exterior A-bimodule.*

2.1.1 Comodules over Hom-coassociative coalgebras

Dualizing actions of Hom-associative algebras on Hom-modules leads to coactions of Hom-coassociative coalgebras on Hom-comodules. Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, \beta_C)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra and (M, β_M) be a Hom-module.
A right coaction of $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, \beta_c)$ on a vector space M, called then a *right C-comodule*, is a morphism $\rho_r : M \longrightarrow M \otimes C$ of Hom-modules, satisfying the identities

$$(\rho_r \otimes \beta_C) \circ \rho_r = (\beta_M \otimes \Delta_C) \circ \rho_r \quad \textit{and} \quad (id_M \otimes \varepsilon_C) \circ \rho_r = \beta_M. \tag{25}$$

If ρ_r and ρ_r' are right comodules of C on Hom-module (M, β_M) and $(M', \beta_{M'})$, then a *morphism of right C-comodules* $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ is a morphism of the underlying Hom-comodules such that

$$\rho_r' \circ f = (id_C \otimes f) \circ \rho_r. \tag{26}$$

A *left C-comodule* (or left comodule over Hom-coassociative coalgebra C) on (M, β_M) is a linear mapping $\rho_l : M \longrightarrow C \otimes M$ such that

$$(\beta_C \otimes \rho_l) \circ \rho_l = (\Delta_C \otimes \beta_M) \circ \rho_l \quad and \quad (\varepsilon_C \otimes id_M) \circ \rho_l = \beta_M. \tag{27}$$

The two conditions of (25) are equivalent to the requirement that the two diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes C \otimes C & \overset{\beta_M \otimes \Delta_C}{\longleftarrow} & M \otimes C \\ \rho_r \otimes \beta_C \uparrow & & \uparrow \rho_r \\ M \otimes C & \overset{\rho_r}{\longleftarrow} & M \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes \Bbbk & \cong & M \\ id_M \otimes \varepsilon \uparrow & & \uparrow \beta_M \\ M \otimes C & \overset{\rho_r}{\longleftarrow} & M. \end{array}$$

are commutative. The diagrams of (27) for a right comodule are obtained from the diagrams of (22) for a right module by reversing arrows and replacing the multiplication μ by the comultiplication Δ and the unit η by the counit ε. Note also that the identities of (25) and (27) which characterize right and left comodules are just the "duals" of the identities of (20) and (22) which define right and left modules, respectively.
The map ρ_r (resp. ρ_l) is then called a right (resp. left) coaction of (M, β_M) on C.
Then, a *morphism of right C-comodule* is $f : M \to M'$ such that

$$\rho'_r \circ f = (f \otimes id_C) \circ \rho_r. \tag{28}$$

If f is invertible, it is an isomorphism of right C-modules.

Proposition 2.5 *A right C-comodule is the same as a left comodule over the coopposite counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra C^{cop}.*

Remark 2.6 *The preceding proposition shows that any result that we obtain for right Hom-comodules has an analogue for left Hom-comodules. This is why we are going to work generally with right C-comodules, without explicitly mentioning the similar results for left C-comodules.*

Remark 2.7 *Clearly, Δ is a right bicomodule and also a left comodule of A on itself. Indeed, in the case $\rho_r = \rho_l = \Delta$, equations (25) and (27) become the Hom-coalgebra axioms (7) and (8).*

A vector space M is called a *C-bicomodule* if M is both a left C-comodule and a right C-comodule satisfying the compatibility condition

$$(\beta_C \otimes \rho_r) \circ \rho_l = (\rho_l \otimes \beta_C) \circ \rho_r \tag{29}$$

Then, we call $(M, \rho_l, \rho_r, \alpha_M)$ a C-bicomodule.
If M and M' are C-bicomodules, a map $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ is a *morphism of C-bicomodules* if it is morphism of left C-comodule and it is morphism of right C-comodule.

Example 2.8 *Let $N' = V \otimes N \otimes V$, and consider structure maps $\rho_l^{\Delta} = \Delta \otimes \alpha_N \otimes \alpha_V$ and $\rho_r^{\Delta} = \alpha_V \otimes \alpha_N \otimes \Delta$. Then $\left(V \otimes N \otimes V, \rho_l^{\Delta}, \rho_r^{\Delta}\right)$ is an exterior A-bicomodule; ρ_l^{Δ} and ρ_r^{Δ} are called exterior bicomodule structure maps.*

Proof. This proof is completely analogous to that of Example 2.4. ■

Let C be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra, and C^* the dual unital Hom-associative algebra. If M is a vector space, and $\omega : M \longrightarrow M \otimes C$ is a linear map, we define $\psi_\omega : C^* \otimes M \longrightarrow M$ by

$$\psi_\omega = \phi\left(\gamma \otimes id_M\right)\left(id_{C^*} \otimes \tau_{M\otimes C}\right)\left(id_{C^*} \otimes \omega\right),$$

where $\gamma : C^* \otimes C \longrightarrow \Bbbk$ is defined by $\gamma\left(x^* \otimes x\right) = x^*\left(x\right)$, and $\phi : \Bbbk \otimes M \longrightarrow M$ is the canonical isomorphism. If $\omega\left(m\right) = \sum_i m_i \otimes x_i$, then $\psi_\omega\left(x^* \otimes m\right) = \sum_i x^*\left(x_i\right) m_i$.

Proposition 2.9 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra. (M, ω, β_M) is a right C-comodule if and only if $(M, \psi_\omega, \alpha_M)$ is a left C^*-module where $\alpha_M = \beta_M$.*

Proof. From the previous Proposition we know that $(C^*, \mu_{C^*}, \eta_{C^*}, \alpha_{C^*})$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra when we define $\mu_{C^*}, \eta_{C^*}, \alpha_{C^*}$, as in Theorem 1.12.
Assume that (M, ω, β_M) is a right C-comodule. Denoting $\omega\left(m\right) = \sum\limits_{(m)} m_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)}$, we have

$$\psi_\omega\left(x^* \otimes m\right) = \sum x^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) m_{(0)}.$$

First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\omega\left(1_{C^*} \otimes m\right) &= \psi_\omega\left(\varepsilon_C \otimes m\right) = \phi\left(\gamma \otimes id_M\right)\left(id_{C^*} \otimes \tau_{M\otimes C}\right)\left(id_{C^*} \otimes \omega\right)\left(\varepsilon_C \otimes m\right)\\ &= \sum \varepsilon_C\left(x_{(1)}\right) m_{(0)} = \left(id_M \otimes \varepsilon_C\right) \circ \omega\left(m\right) = \beta_M\left(m\right) = \alpha_M\left(m\right) \text{ by (25)}\end{aligned}$$

from the definition of a right C-comodule. Then, for x^*, $y^* \in C^*$, $m \in M$

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_\omega \circ \left(\alpha_{C^*} \otimes \psi_\omega\right)\left(x^* \otimes y^* \otimes m\right) = \psi_\omega \circ \left(\alpha_{C^*}\left(x^*\right) \otimes \psi_\omega\left(y^* \otimes m\right)\right)\\ &= \psi_\omega \circ \left(x^*\left(\beta_C\right) \otimes \sum y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) m_{(0)}\right) = \sum y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) \psi_\omega\left(x^*\left(\beta_C\right) \otimes m_{(0)}\right)\\ &= \sum y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) x^*\left(\beta_C\right)\left(x_{(2)}\right)\left(m_{(0)}\right)_{(0)} = \sum x^* \circ \beta_C\left(x_{(2)}\right) y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right)\left(m_{(0)}\right)\\ &\overset{(25)}{=} \sum \rho\left(x^* \otimes y^*\right)\left(\beta_C\left(x_{(2)}\right) \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)}\right) = \sum \rho\left(x^* \otimes y^*\right)\left(x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes \beta_M\left(m_{(0)}\right)\right)\\ &= \sum \Delta^*\rho\left(x^* \otimes y^*\right) x_{(1)} \otimes \beta_M\left(m_{(0)}\right) = \psi_\omega \circ \left(\mu_{C^*} \otimes \alpha_M\right)\left(x^* \otimes y^* \otimes m\right),\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $(M^*, \psi_\omega, \alpha_M)$ is a left C^*-module. Assume now that $(M^*, \psi_\omega, \alpha_M)$ is a left C^*-module. From $\psi_\omega \circ \left(\eta_{C^*} \otimes id_{M^*}\right) = \alpha_{M^*}$, one obtains $\sum \varepsilon_C\left(x_{(1)}\right) m_{(0)} = \alpha_M\left(m\right)$. It follows

$$\left(id_M \otimes \varepsilon_C\right) \circ \omega\left(m\right) = \sum \varepsilon_C\left(x_{(1)}\right) m_{(0)} = \alpha_M\left(m\right) = \beta_M\left(m\right).$$

Hence, the second condition from the definition of a right C-comodule is checked.
If x^*, $y^* \in C^*$, $m \in M$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_\omega \circ \left(\mu_{C^*} \otimes \alpha_M\right)\left(x^* \otimes y^* \otimes m\right) = \psi_\omega \circ \left(\Delta^* \circ \rho\left(x^* \otimes y^*\right) \otimes \alpha_M\left(m\right)\right)\\ &= \sum \Delta_C^* \circ \rho\left(x^* \otimes y^*\right)\left(x_{(1)}\right) \alpha_M\left(m\right)_{(0)} = \sum \left(x^*\left(x_{(1)}\right)_{(1)} y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right)_{(2)}\right) \alpha_M\left(m\right)_{(0)}\\ &= \phi'\left(id_M \otimes y^* \otimes x^*\right)\left(\beta_M \otimes \Delta_C\right)\omega\left(m\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi' : M \otimes \Bbbk \otimes \Bbbk \longrightarrow M$ is the canonical isomorphism, and $\alpha_M = \beta_M$. Also

$$\psi_\omega \circ (\alpha_{C^*} \otimes \psi_\omega)(x^* \otimes y^* \otimes m) = \psi_\omega \circ (\alpha_{C^*}(x^*) \otimes \psi_\omega(y^* \otimes m))$$
$$= \psi_\omega \circ \left(\alpha_{C^*}(x^*) \otimes \sum y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) m_{(0)}\right) = \sum y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) \psi_\omega \circ \left(x^*(\beta_C) \otimes m_{(0)}\right)$$
$$= \sum y^*\left(x_{(1)}\right) x^*\left(\beta_C\left(x_{(2)}\right)\right) m_{(0)} = \phi'(id_M \otimes y^* \otimes x^*)(\omega \otimes \beta_C)\omega(m).$$

Denoting

$$z = (\beta_M \otimes \Delta_C)\omega(m) - (\omega \otimes \beta_C)\omega(m) \in M \otimes C \otimes C,$$

we have $(id_M \otimes y^* \otimes x^*)(z) = 0$ for any $y^*, x^* \in C^*$. This shows that $z = 0$.
Indeed, if we denote by $(e_i)_i$ a basis of C, we can write $y = \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} \otimes e_i \otimes e_j$ for some $m_{ij} \in M$. Fix i_0 and j_0 and consider the maps $e_i^* \in C^*$ defined by $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for any j. Then $m_{i_0 j_0} = \left(id_M \otimes e_{i_0}^* \otimes e_{j_0}^*\right)(z) = 0$, and from this we get $z = 0$.
Then $(\beta_M \otimes \Delta_C)\omega(m) = (\omega \otimes \beta_C)\omega(m)$. The proof is similar to the opposite case. ■

Proposition 2.10 *Let (A, μ, η, α) be a finite dimensional unital Hom-associative algebra. If M is a left A-module, then M is a right A^*-comodule.*

Theorem 2.11 *Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra. Then for any right C-comodule M, M^* is a left C^*module. Conversely, Let (A, μ, η, α) be a finite dimensional unital Hom-associative algebra. If N is a left A-module then N^* is a right A^*-comodule.*

2.1.2 Tensor product of bimodules and bicomodules

We will need to consider tensor products over $\Bbbk$ of bimodules. The tensor product of bimodules can be endowed with bimodule structures; if M and N are bimodules over A; we shall consider two bimodule structures on $M \otimes N$ (dual of each other), which we will denote by $M \overline{\otimes} N$ and $M \underline{\otimes} N$ (see for example [34] for details). These notations will also be used for the tensor product of bimodules or bicomodules, 'forgetting' some of the structures.

Proposition 2.12 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra and $(M, \lambda_l, \lambda_r)$, $(M', \lambda_l', \lambda_r')$ be H-bimodules. The internal (bimodule) tensor product of M with M' is the so called interior H-bimodule $M \overline{\otimes} M' = (M \otimes M', \lambda_l \overline{\otimes} \lambda_l', \lambda_r \overline{\otimes} \lambda_r')$ with*

$$\lambda_l^2 = \lambda_l \overline{\otimes} \lambda_l' = \left(\lambda_l \otimes \lambda_l'\right) \circ (id_H \otimes \tau_{H,M} \otimes id_{M'}) \circ (\Delta_H \otimes id_M \otimes id_{M'}) \tag{30}$$

and

$$\lambda_r^2 = \lambda_r \overline{\otimes} \lambda_r' = \left(\lambda_r \otimes \lambda_r'\right) \circ \left(id_M \otimes \tau_{M',H} \otimes id_H\right) \circ (id_M \otimes id_{M'} \otimes \Delta_H). \tag{31}$$

Proof. It is already shown in [37]. ■

Remark 2.13 *Since Δ_H is Hom-coassociative,*

$$\left(\lambda_l \overline{\otimes} \lambda_l'\right) \overline{\otimes} \alpha_H\left(\lambda_l''\right) = \alpha_H(\lambda_l) \overline{\otimes} \left(\lambda_l' \overline{\otimes} \lambda_l''\right) \text{ and } \left(\lambda_r \overline{\otimes} \lambda_r'\right) \overline{\otimes} \alpha_H\left(\lambda_r''\right) = \alpha_H(\lambda_r) \overline{\otimes} \left(\lambda_r' \overline{\otimes} \left(\lambda_r''\right)\right).$$

Thus, the internal tensor product can be Hom-associatively applied to any finite family of H-bimodules.

Proof. We use the Hom-coassociativity axiom (7). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\lambda_l\overline{\otimes}\lambda_l'\right)\overline{\otimes}\alpha_H\left(\lambda_l''\right) = \left(\left(\lambda_l\overline{\otimes}\lambda_l'\right)\otimes\alpha_H\left(\lambda_l''\right)\right)\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_M^{\otimes 3}\right)\\
&= \left[\left(\left(\lambda_l\otimes\lambda_l'\right)\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M\otimes M'}\right)\right)\otimes\alpha_H\left(\lambda_l''\right)\right]\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M\otimes M'\otimes M''}\right)\\
&= \left[\left(\lambda_l\otimes\lambda_l'\right)\otimes\lambda_l''\right]\circ\left[\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M\otimes M'}\right)\otimes\alpha_{H\otimes M''}\right]\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M\otimes M'\otimes M''}\right)\\
&= \left[\lambda_l\otimes\left(\lambda_l'\otimes\lambda_l''\right)\right]\circ\left[\alpha_{H\otimes M}\otimes\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M'\otimes M''}\right)\right]\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M\otimes M'\otimes M''}\right)\\
&= \left(\alpha_H\left(\lambda_l\right)\otimes\left(\lambda_l'\overline{\otimes}\lambda_l''\right)\right)\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_{M\otimes M'\otimes M''}\right)\\
&= \alpha_H\left(\lambda_l\right)\overline{\otimes}\left(\lambda_l'\overline{\otimes}\lambda_l''\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The second assertion is proved similarly. ■

Corollary 2.14 *Let (M,λ_l,λ_r)be an H-bimodule. The structure maps $\overline{\lambda}_l^{\mu} = \mu\overline{\otimes}\lambda_l\overline{\otimes}\mu$ and $\overline{\lambda}_r^{\mu} = \mu\overline{\otimes}\lambda_r\overline{\otimes}\mu$ on the interior H-bimodule $H\overline{\otimes}M\overline{\otimes}H$ are called the two-sided interior extensions of λ_l and λ_r, respectively, by μ.*

Proposition 2.15 *Let (M,λ_l,λ_r)be an H-bimodule. The interior H-bimodule $M^{\overline{\otimes}n} = (M^{\otimes n},\lambda_l^n,\lambda_r^n)$, with*

$$\lambda_l^n = \left(\lambda_l\overline{\otimes}\lambda_l^{n-1}\right) = \left(\lambda_l\otimes\lambda_l^{n-1}\right)\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\Delta\otimes id_M^{\otimes n}\right) \tag{32}$$

and

$$\lambda_r^n = \left(\lambda_r^{n-1}\overline{\otimes}\lambda_r\right) = \left(\lambda_r^{n-1}\otimes\lambda_r\right)\circ\tau_{n,n+1}\circ\left(id_M^{\otimes n}\otimes\Delta\right) \tag{33}$$

is called the n-fold interior (bimodule) tensor power of M.

Proof. By induction. ■

Example 2.16 *Let $(H,\mu_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra; for each $n\geq 1$, the n-fold interior (bimodule) tensor power of H is the interior H-bimodule $H^{\overline{\otimes}n} = (H^{\otimes n},\lambda_l^n,\lambda_r^n)$ with*

$$\lambda_l^n = \mu_H^{\otimes n}\circ\left(135...\left(2n-1\right)246...\left(2n\right)\right)\circ\prod_{i=n}^{2n-2}\left(\Delta_H\otimes id_M^{\otimes(3n-i-2)}\right) \tag{34}$$

and

$$\lambda_r^n = \mu_H^{\otimes n}\circ\left(135...\left(2n-1\right)246...\left(2n\right)\right)\circ\prod_{i=n}^{2n-2}\left(id_M^{\otimes(3n-i-2)}\otimes\Delta_H\right), \tag{35}$$

where $\lambda_l = \lambda_r = \mu_H$

Proposition 2.17 *Let $(H,\mu_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra and (N,ρ_l,ρ_r), (N',ρ_l',ρ_r') be H-bicomodules. The internal (bicomodule) tensor product of N with N' is the so-called interior H-bicomodule $N\underline{\otimes}N' = (N\otimes N',\rho_l\underline{\otimes}\rho_l',\rho_r\underline{\otimes}\rho_r')$ with*

$$\rho_l^2 = \rho_l\underline{\otimes}\rho_l' = \left(\mu_H\otimes id_{N\otimes N'}\right)\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\rho_l\otimes\rho_l'\right) \tag{36}$$

and

$$\rho_r^2 = \rho_r\underline{\otimes}\rho_r' = \left(id_{N\otimes N'}\otimes\mu_H\right)\circ\tau_{2,3}\circ\left(\rho_r\otimes\rho_r'\right). \tag{37}$$

Remark 2.18 *Since μ_H is Hom-associative, we have*

$$\left(\rho_l \underline{\otimes} \rho_l'\right) \underline{\otimes} \alpha\left(\rho_l''\right) = \alpha\left(\rho_l\right) \underline{\otimes} \left(\rho_l' \underline{\otimes} \rho_l''\right) \text{ and } \left(\rho_r \underline{\otimes} \rho_r'\right) \underline{\otimes} \alpha\left(\rho_r''\right) = \alpha\left(\rho_r\right) \underline{\otimes} \left(\rho_r' \underline{\otimes} \rho_r''\right).$$

Thus, the internal tensor product can be Hom-associatively applied to any finite family of H-bicomodules.

Corollary 2.19 *Let (N, ρ_l, ρ_r) be an H-bicomodule. The structure maps $\overline{\rho}_l^{\Delta} = \Delta \underline{\otimes} \rho_l \underline{\otimes} \Delta$ and $\overline{\rho}_r^{\Delta} = \Delta \underline{\otimes} \rho_r \underline{\otimes} \Delta$ on the interior H-bicomodule $H \underline{\otimes} N \underline{\otimes} H$ are called the two-sided interior extensions of ρ_l and ρ_r by Δ, respectively.*

Proposition 2.20 *Let (N, ρ_l, ρ_r)be an H-bicomodule.*
The interior H-bicomodule $N^{\underline{\otimes} n} = (N^{\otimes n}, \rho_l^n, \rho_r^n)$, with

$$\rho_l^n = \rho_l \underline{\otimes} \rho_l^{n-1} = \left(\mu \otimes \alpha_N^{\otimes n}\right) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ \left(\rho_l \otimes \rho_l^{n-1}\right) \tag{38}$$

and

$$\rho_r^n = \rho_r^{n-1} \underline{\otimes} \rho_r = \left(id_N^{\otimes n} \otimes \mu\right) \circ \tau_{n,n+1} \circ \left(\rho_r^{n-1} \otimes \rho_r\right) \tag{39}$$

is called the n-fold internal (bicomodule) tensor power of N.

Proof. By induction ■

Example 2.21 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra, consider the H-bicomodule (H, ρ_l, ρ_r) where $\rho_l = \rho_r = \Delta_H$. For each $n \geq 1$, the n-fold internal (bicomodule) tensor power of H is the interior H-bicomodule $H^{\underline{\otimes} n} = (H^{\otimes n}, \rho_l^n, \rho_r^n)$ with*

$$\rho_l^n = \prod_{i=n}^{2n-2} \left(\mu_H \otimes id_N^{\otimes i}\right) \circ (135...(2n-1)\,246...(2n))^{-1} \circ \Delta_H^{\otimes n} \tag{40}$$

$$\rho_r^n = \prod_{i=n}^{2n-2} \left(id_N^{\otimes i} \otimes \mu_H\right) \circ (135...(2n-1)\,246...(2n))^{-1} \circ \Delta_H^{\otimes n}. \tag{41}$$

Lemma 2.22 *Consider the interior H-bicomodules, $H^{\overline{\otimes} n} = (H^{\otimes n}, \lambda_l^n, \lambda_r^n)$ and $H^{\underline{\otimes} n} = (H^{\otimes n}, \rho_l^n, \rho_r^n)$ with $\lambda_l^1 = \lambda_l^1 = \mu$, $\rho_l^1 = \rho_l^1 = \Delta$ and let $f : V^{\otimes q} \to V^{\otimes p}$ be a linear map which commutes with β and such that $\alpha^{\otimes p} \circ f = f \circ \alpha^{\otimes q}$, $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then*

1. $\lambda_l^{p+1} \circ \left(\alpha^{p-1} \otimes \left(\alpha^{p-1} \otimes f\right) \circ \rho_l^p\right) = \left(\alpha^{p-1} \otimes \lambda_l^p \circ \left(\alpha^{p-1} \otimes f\right)\right) \circ \rho_l^{p+1}$
2. $\lambda_r^{p+1} \circ \left(\left(f \otimes \alpha^{p-1}\right) \circ \rho_r^p \otimes \alpha^{p-1}\right) = \left(\lambda_r^p \circ \left(f \otimes \alpha^{p-1}\right) \otimes \alpha^{p-1}\right) \circ \rho_r^{p+1}$.

3 Gerstenhaber-Schack Cohomology for Hom-bialgebras

Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology of Hom-bialgebras is a twisted generalization of bialgebras cohomology, which was first discovered by Gerstenhaber and Schack [13, 14], extending associative algebras cohomology introduced by Hochschild in [19] to bialgebra. Deformation theories are intimately related to cohomology. It turns out that, we do not need a cohomology of (Hom-)Hopf algebra since it is enough to deform (Hom-)Hopf algebra as a (Hom-)bialgebra. We refer

to [13, 14] for the definition of bialgebra cohomology and its truncated version due to Gerstenhaber and Schack. We define the bicomplex extending Hochschild cohomology for horizontal faces and coalgebra Cartier cohomology for the vertical faces.
Let $B=(B,\mu,\eta,\Delta,\varepsilon,\alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra on the $\Bbbk$-vector space B. We set for the cochains:

$$\mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}=Hom_{\Bbbk}\left(B^{\otimes q},B^{\otimes p}\right),p,q\geq 1,$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}=\left\{f:B^{\otimes q}\longrightarrow B^{\otimes p},f\ \ is\ a\ linear\ map,\ f\circ\alpha^{\otimes q}=\alpha^{\otimes p}\circ f\right\}.$$

We define the horizontal faces $\delta^{p,q}_{Hom,H}:\mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{p,q+1}_{Hom}$ as

$$\delta^{p,q}_{Hom,H}(f)=\lambda^p_l\circ(\alpha^{q-1}\otimes f)+\sum_{i=1}^{q}(-1)^i f\circ\left(\alpha^{\otimes(i-1)}\otimes\mu\otimes\alpha^{\otimes(q-i)}\right)+(-1)^{q+1}\lambda^p_r\circ(f\otimes\alpha^{q-1}).\ (42)$$

The vertical faces $\delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C}:\mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{p+1,q}_{Hom}$ are defined as:

$$\delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C}(f)=(\alpha^{p-1}\otimes f)\circ\rho^q_l+\sum_{j=1}^{p}(-1)^j\left(\alpha^{\otimes(j-1)}\otimes\Delta\otimes\alpha^{\otimes(p-j)}\right)\circ f+(-1)^{p+1}(f\otimes\alpha^{p-1})\circ\rho^q_r.\ (43)$$

Proposition 3.1 *The composite*

$$\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}=0,\quad \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}=\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C},\quad \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}=0.$$

Proof. We prove the first identity. We have $\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(f)=(\alpha\otimes f)\circ\rho^1_l-(\Delta\otimes\alpha)\circ f+(\alpha\otimes\Delta)\circ f-(f\otimes\alpha)\circ\rho^1_r$, $\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f)=(id_B\otimes f)\circ\rho^1_l-\Delta\circ f+(f\otimes id_B)\circ\rho^1_r$, and $\rho^1_l=\rho^1_r=\Delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f)&=(\alpha\otimes\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f))\circ\Delta-(\Delta\otimes\alpha)\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f)+(\alpha\otimes\Delta)\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f)\\
&\quad-(\delta^1_{Hom,C}(f)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta\\
&=(\alpha\otimes((id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta-\Delta\circ f+(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta))\circ\Delta\\
&\quad-(\Delta\otimes\alpha)\circ((id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta-\Delta\circ f+(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\\
&\quad+(\alpha\otimes\Delta)\circ((id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta-\Delta\circ f+(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\\
&\quad-((id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta-\Delta\circ f+(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta\\
&=(\alpha\otimes(id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta)\circ\Delta-(\alpha\otimes(\Delta\circ f))\circ\Delta+(\alpha\otimes(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\circ\Delta\\
&\quad-(\Delta\otimes\alpha)(id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta+(\Delta\otimes\alpha)(\Delta\circ f)-(\Delta\otimes\alpha)(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta\\
&\quad+(\alpha\otimes\Delta)(id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta-(\alpha\otimes\Delta)(\Delta\circ f)+(\alpha\otimes\Delta)(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta\\
&\quad-(((id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta+((\Delta\circ f)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta-(((f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta\\
&\overset{(*)}{=}(\alpha\otimes(id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta)\circ\Delta+(\alpha\otimes(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\circ\Delta\\
&\quad-(\Delta\otimes\alpha)(id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta+(\alpha\otimes\Delta)(f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta\\
&\quad-(((id_B\otimes f)\circ\Delta)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta-(((f\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta)\otimes\alpha)\circ\Delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where (*) was obtained by the Hom-coassociativity of Δ and Lemma 1.16.
From Lemma 1.17, we immediately obtain $\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f)=0$.

For the second identity, we have $\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}(f) = (id_B \otimes f) \circ \rho^2_l - \Delta \circ f + (f \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^2_r$, $\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f) = (id_B \otimes f) \circ \rho^1_l - \Delta \circ f + (f \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^1_r$, $\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}(f) = \lambda^2_l \circ (id_B \otimes f) - f \circ \mu + \lambda^2_r \circ (f \otimes id_B)$, $\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(f) = \lambda^1_l \circ (id_B \otimes f) - f \circ \mu + \lambda^1_r \circ (f \otimes id_B)$, and $\rho^1_l = \rho^1_r = \Delta$, $\lambda^1_l = \lambda^1_r = \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C} \circ \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(f) = (id_B \otimes (\mu \circ (id_B \otimes f) - f \circ \mu + \mu \circ (f \otimes id_B))) \circ \rho^2_l \\
&- \Delta \circ (\mu \circ (id_B \otimes f) - f \circ \mu + \mu \circ (f \otimes id_B)) \\
&+ ((\mu \circ (id_B \otimes f) - f \circ \mu + \mu \circ (f \otimes id_B)) \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^2_r \\
&= ((id_B \otimes \mu \circ (id_B \otimes f)) \circ \rho^2_l - (id_B \otimes f \circ \mu) \circ \rho^2_l + \\
&(id_B \otimes \mu \circ (f \otimes id_B)) \circ \rho^2_l - \Delta \circ \mu \circ (id_B \otimes f) - \Delta \circ f \circ \mu \\
&+ \Delta \circ \mu \circ (f \otimes id_B) + (\mu \circ (id_B \otimes f) \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^2_r \\
&- (f \circ \mu \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^2_r + (\mu \circ (f \otimes id_B) \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^2_r \\
&\overset{(**)}{=} \lambda^2_l \circ (id_B \otimes (id_B \otimes f) \circ \Delta) - (id_B \otimes f) \circ \Delta \circ \mu + \\
&\lambda^2_r \circ (((id_B \otimes f) \circ \Delta) \otimes id_B)) - \lambda^2_l \circ (id_B \otimes (\Delta \circ f)) \\
&- \Delta \circ f \circ \mu + \lambda^2_r \circ ((\Delta \circ f) \otimes id_B)) + \lambda^2_l \circ (id_B \otimes (f \otimes id_B) \circ \Delta) \\
&- (f \otimes id_B) \circ \Delta \circ \mu + \lambda^2_r \circ ((f \otimes id_B) \circ \Delta \otimes id_B) \\
&= \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H} \circ \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f),
\end{aligned}$$

where $(**)$ is obtained by the compatibility condition and Lemma 2.22.
For the third identity see ([2]). ■

Proposition 3.2 *Let* $D^{p,q}_i : \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}(B^{\otimes q}, B^{\otimes p}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p,q+1}_{Hom}\left(B^{\otimes q+1}, B^{\otimes p}\right)$ *be linear operators defined for* $f \in \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}(B^{\otimes q}, B^{\otimes p})$ *by:*

$$D^{p,q}_i(f) = \begin{cases} -\lambda^p_l \circ (\alpha^{q-1} \otimes f) + f \circ \left(\mu \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(q-1)}\right) & if \quad i = 0, \\ f \circ \left(\alpha^{\otimes i} \otimes \mu \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(q-i-1)}\right) & if \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq q-2, \\ f \circ \left(\alpha^{\otimes q-1} \otimes \mu\right) - \lambda^p_r \circ (f \otimes \alpha^{q-1}) & if \quad i = q-1. \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

Then

$$D^{1,q+1}_i \circ D^{1,q}_j = D^{1,q+1}_{j+1} \circ D^{1,q}_i \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq q \text{ and } \delta^{1,q}_{Hom,H} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{i+1} D^{1,q}_i. \tag{45}$$

Proposition 3.3 *Let* $S^{p,q}_i : \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}(B^{\otimes q}, B^{\otimes p}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p+1,q}_{Hom}\left(B^{\otimes q}, B^{\otimes p+1}\right)$ *be linear operators defined for* $g \in \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}(B^{\otimes q}, B^{\otimes p})$ *by:*

$$S^{p,q}_i(g) = \begin{cases} -(\alpha^{p-1} \otimes g) \circ \rho^q_l + \left(\Delta \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(p-1)}\right) \circ g & if \quad i = 0, \\ \left(\alpha^{\otimes i} \otimes \Delta \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(p-i-1)}\right) \circ g & if \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq p-2, \\ \left(\alpha^{\otimes p-1} \otimes \Delta\right) \circ g - (g \otimes \alpha^{p-1}) \circ \rho^q_r & if \quad i = p-1. \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

Then

$$S^{p+1,1}_i \circ S^{p,1}_j = S^{p+1,1}_{j+1} \circ S^{p,1}_i \quad 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n \text{ and } \delta^{p,1}_{Hom,C} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} S^{p,1}_i. \tag{47}$$

Theorem 3.4 *Let $B = (B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra and $\delta^{p,q}_{Hom,H} : \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p,q+1}_{Hom}$, $\delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C} : \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p+1,q}_{Hom}$ be the operators defined in (42), (43).*
Then $\left(\mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}, \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,H}, \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C}\right)$ is a bicomplex i.e.

$$\delta^{p+1,q}_{Hom,C} \circ \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C} = 0 \text{ and } \delta^{p,q+1}_{Hom,H} \circ \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,H} = 0. \tag{48}$$

Proof. We prove the first identity.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{p+1,q}_{Hom,C} \circ \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{i+1} S_i^{p+1,q}\right) \circ \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{j+1} S_j^{p,q}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{i+j} S_i^{p+1,q} \circ S_j^{p,q} = \sum_{0\leq j<i\leq n}(-1)^{i+j} S_i^{p+1,q} \circ S_j^{p,q} + \sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq n-1}(-1)^{i+j} S_i^{p+1,q} \circ S_j^{p,q} \\
&\overset{(47)}{=} \sum_{0\leq j<i\leq n}(-1)^{i+j} S_i^{p+1,q} S_j^{p,q} + \sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq n-1}(-1)^{i+j} S_{j+1}^{p+1,q} S_i^{p,q} \\
&= \sum_{0\leq j<i\leq n}(-1)^{i+j} S_i^{p+1,q} S_j^{p,q} + \sum_{0\leq i<k\leq n}(-1)^{i+k-1} S_k^{p+1,q} S_i^{p,q} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second identity, see ([2]). ■
There is a canonical way to construct a complex from a given bicomplex. The cochains are given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{Hom} = \sum_n \oplus \hat{\mathcal{C}}^n_{Hom}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{C}}^n_{Hom} = \sum_{p+q=n+1, p,q\geq 1} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom}, \quad \mathcal{C}^{p,q}_{Hom} = Hom_{\Bbbk}\left(B^{\otimes q}, B^{\otimes p}\right) \ n \geq 1.$$

The coboundary operator is $\delta^n_{Hom} : \hat{\mathcal{C}}^n_{Hom} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}^{n+1}_{Hom}$ defined as

$$\delta^n_{Hom}|_{\mathcal{C}^{n+1-q,q}_{Hom}} = \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,H} \oplus (-1)^q \delta^{p,q}_{Hom,C}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq n, \ p = n+1-q. \tag{49}$$

Hence, for each $n \geq 1$, we get a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}^1_{Hom} \longrightarrow^{\delta^1_{Hom}} \hat{\mathcal{C}}^2_{Hom} \longrightarrow^{\delta^2_{Hom}} \hat{\mathcal{C}}^3_{Hom} \longrightarrow^{\delta^3_{Hom}} \hat{\mathcal{C}}^4_{Hom} \cdots$$

Remark 3.5 *The composite $\delta^2_{Hom} \circ \delta^1_{Hom} = 0$, according to Proposition* 3.1.

We define the $n-th$ cohomology group of the above complex to be the Hom-bialgebra cohomology of B, which will be denoted by $H^n_{Hom}(B, B)$, $n \geq 1$.
The kernel of δ^n_{Hom} in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}^n_{Hom}$ is the space of n-cocycles defined by:

$$Z^n_{Hom}(B, B) = \left\{\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^n_{Hom} : \quad \delta^n_{Hom}(\rho) = 0\right\}. \tag{50}$$

The image of δ^n_{Hom} is the space of n-coboundaries defined by:

$$B^n_{Hom}(B, B) = \left\{\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^n_{Hom} : \quad \rho = \delta^{n-1}_{Hom}(\psi), \quad \psi \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^{n-1}_{Hom}\right\}. \tag{51}$$

The Gerstenhaber-Shack cohomology group of the Hom-bialgebra $B = (B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ with coefficient in it self is

$$H^n_{Hom}(B,B) = Z^n_{Hom}(B,B)/B^n_{Hom}(B,B). \tag{52}$$

In particular,

$$H^1_{Hom}(B,B) = \left\{ f : B \longrightarrow B : \ \delta^{0,1}_{Hom,H}(f) = 0 \text{ and } \delta^{1,0}_{Hom,C}(f) = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{0,1}_{Hom,H}(f) &= \mu \circ (id_B \otimes f) - f \circ \mu + \mu \circ (g \otimes id_B), \\ \delta^{1,0}_{Hom,C}(f) &= (id_B \otimes f) \circ \Delta - \Delta \circ f + (f \otimes id_B) \circ \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

The cohomology groups $H^2_{Hom}(B,B)$ and $H^3_{Hom}(B,B)$ play an important role in deformation theory. We provide their explicit definitions.

• $Z^2_{Hom}(B,B) = \left\{ (f,g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^2_{Hom},\ \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}(f) = 0,\ \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}(f) + \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}(g) = 0,\ \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(g) = 0 \right\},$

where for $f : B \otimes B \longrightarrow B$ and $g : B \longrightarrow B \otimes B$, we have

$$\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}(f) = \lambda^1_l \circ (\alpha \otimes f) - f \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha) + f \circ (\alpha \otimes \mu) - \lambda^1_r \circ (f \otimes \alpha);$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}(f) + \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}(g) &= \left((id_B \otimes f) \circ \rho^2_l - \Delta \circ f + (f \otimes id_B) \circ \rho^2_r\right) + \\ &\quad (\lambda^2_l \circ (id_B \otimes g) - g \circ \mu + \lambda^2_r \circ (g \otimes id_B)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(g) = (\alpha \otimes g) \circ \rho^1_l - (\Delta \otimes \alpha) \circ g + (\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ g - (f \otimes \alpha) \circ \rho^1_r,$$

where $\rho^1_l = \rho^1_r = \Delta$, $\lambda^1_l = \lambda^1_r = \mu$.

• $B^2_{Hom}(B,B) = \left\{ (f,g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^2_{Hom},\ \exists h : B \longrightarrow B,\ f = \delta^{0,1}_{Hom,H}(h),\ g = \delta^{1,0}_{Hom,C}(h) \right\},$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{0,1}_{Hom,H}(h) &= \mu \circ (id_B \otimes h) - h \circ \mu + \mu \circ (h \otimes id_B), \\ \delta^{1,0}_{Hom,C}(h) &= (id_B \otimes h) \circ \Delta - \Delta \circ h + (h \otimes id_B) \circ \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

• $Z^3_{Hom}(B,B) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (F,H,G) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^3_{Hom},\ \delta^{1,3}_{Hom,H}(F) = 0, \delta^{2,2}_{Hom,H}(H) - \delta^{1,3}_{Hom,C}(F) = 0, \\ \delta^{2,2}_{Hom,C}(H) + \delta^{3,1}_{Hom,H}(G) = 0, \delta^{3,1}_{Hom,C}(G) = 0 \end{array} \right\},$

and

• $B^3_{Hom}(B,B) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (F,H,G) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^3_{Hom},\ \exists (f,g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^2_{Hom},,\ F = \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}(f), \\ H = \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}(f) + \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}(g), G = \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(g) \end{array} \right\},$

where $F : B \otimes B \otimes B \longrightarrow B$, $H : B \otimes B \longrightarrow B \otimes B$ and $G : B \longrightarrow B \otimes B \otimes B$.

Example 3.6 *We consider $(T_2)_\lambda$, the 4-dimensional Taft-Sweedler Hom-bialgebra defined in Example 1.23 for which we compute for $\lambda \neq 1$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, the first cohomology groups.*
The space of 1-cohomology classes of $(T_2)_\lambda$

$$H^1_{Hom}\left((T_2)_\lambda,(T_2)_\lambda\right)=\left\{f:(T_2)_\lambda\longrightarrow(T_2)_\lambda\text{: }\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(f)=0 \text{ and } \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(f)=0\right\}$$

The elements are defined with respect to a basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ by

$$f(e_1)=0,\ f(e_2)=0, f(e_3)=ae_3, f(e_4)=ae_4, \text{where } a \text{ is a free parameter.}$$

The 2-cocycles of the Hom-bialgebras $(T_2)_\lambda$

$$Z^2_{Hom}\left((T_2)_\lambda,(T_2)_\lambda\right)=\left\{(f,g)\in\hat{\mathcal{C}}^2_{Hom}:\ \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}(f)=0,\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(g)=0,\ \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}(f)+\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}(g)=0\right\}.$$

They are defined with respect to the basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$, by the table which describes multiplying the i^{th} row elements by the j^{th} column elements with respect to the same basis :

f	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	$a(e_1+e_2)$	$a(e_1+e_2)$	$\lambda a(e_3+e_4)$	$\lambda a(e_3+e_4)$
e_2	$a(e_1+e_2)$	$a(e_1-3e_2)$	$\lambda(ce_4-ae_3)$	$\lambda((2a-c)e_3-ae_4)$
e_3	$\lambda a(e_3-e_4)$	$-\lambda(ae_3+ce_4)$	0	0
e_4	$\lambda a(e_4-e_3)$	$-\lambda((2a-c)e_3+ae_4)$	0	0

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g(e_1)&=-a(e_1\otimes e_1+e_1\otimes e_2+e_2\otimes e_1-e_2\otimes e_2),\\
g(e_2)&=-a(e_1\otimes e_1-e_1\otimes e_2-e_2\otimes e_1+e_2\otimes e_2),\\
g(e_3)&=\lambda a(e_1\otimes e_3-e_2\otimes e_3-e_3\otimes e_1-e_3\otimes e_2),\\
g(e_4)&=-\lambda a(e_1\otimes e_4+e_2\otimes e_4-e_4\otimes e_1+e_4\otimes e_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The space of 2-coboundaries of the Hom-bialgebra $(T_2)_\lambda$ is defined by

$$B^2_{Hom}\left((T_2)_\lambda,(T_2)_\lambda\right)=\left\{(f,g)\in\hat{\mathcal{C}}^2_{Hom},\ \exists h:(T_2)_\lambda\longrightarrow(T_2)_\lambda,\ f=\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(h),\ g=\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(h)\right\}$$

such that

f	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	0	0	0	0
e_2	0	0	λce_4	$-\lambda ce_3$
e_3	0	$-\lambda ce_4$	0	0
e_4	0	λce_3	0	0

and $g(e_i)=0$ for $i\in\{1,2,3,4\}$, where $\lambda,a,c\in\Bbbk$ are free parameters.
The 2^{th} cohomology group of $(T_2)_\lambda$ is the quotient

$$H^2_{Hom}\left((T_2)_\lambda,(T_2)_\lambda\right)=Z^2_{Hom}\left((T_2)_\lambda,(T_2)_\lambda\right)/B^2_{Hom}\left((T_2)_\lambda,(T_2)_\lambda\right),$$

which is defined, with respect to the basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$, by

f	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	$a(e_1+e_2)$	$a(e_1+e_2)$	$\lambda a(e_3+e_4)$	$\lambda a(e_3+e_4)$
e_2	$a(e_1+e_2)$	$a(e_1-3e_2)$	$-\lambda ae_3$	$\lambda a(2e_3-e_4)$
e_3	$\lambda a(e_3-e_4)$	$-\lambda ae_3$	0	0
e_4	$\lambda a(e_4-e_3)$	$-\lambda a(2e_3+e_4)$	0	0

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g(e_1) &= -a(e_1\otimes e_1 + e_1\otimes e_2 + e_2\otimes e_1 - e_2\otimes e_2),\\
g(e_2) &= -a(e_1\otimes e_1 - e_1\otimes e_2 - e_2\otimes e_1 + e_2\otimes e_2),\\
g(e_3) &= \lambda a(e_1\otimes e_3 - e_2\otimes e_3 - e_3\otimes e_1 - e_3\otimes e_2),\\
g(e_4) &= -\lambda a(e_1\otimes e_4 + e_2\otimes e_4 - e_4\otimes e_1 + e_4\otimes e_2).
\end{aligned}$$

4 Formal Deformations of Hom-bialgebras

We discuss, in this section, a deformation theory for Hom-bialgebras following Gerstenhaber's approach. Let $(B,\mu,\eta,\Delta,\varepsilon,\beta)$ be a Hom-bialgebra and $\Bbbk[[t]]$ be the power series ring in one variable t and coefficients in $\Bbbk$. Let $B[[t]]$ be the set of formal power series whose coefficients are elements of B (note that $B[[t]]$ is obtained by extending the coefficients domain of B from $\Bbbk$ to $\Bbbk[[t]]$). Then $B[[t]]$ is a $\Bbbk[[t]]$-module and when B is finite dimensional, we have $B[[t]]=B\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk[[t]]$. Notice that B is a submodule of $B[[t]]$.

Definition 4.1 *Let $B=(B,\mu_0,\eta_0,\Delta_0,\varepsilon_0,\alpha_0)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. A formal Hom-bialgebra deformation of B over $\Bbbk[[t]]$ consists of $\Bbbk[[t]]$-bilinear maps*

$$\mu_t=\sum_{i\geq 0}\mu_i t^i \ : B\otimes B\longrightarrow B[[t]],\quad with\quad \mu_i : B\otimes B\longrightarrow B,$$

$$\Delta_t=\sum_{j\geq 0}\Delta_j t^j \ : B\longrightarrow B[[t]]\otimes B[[t]],\quad with\quad \Delta_j : B\longrightarrow B\otimes B,$$

$$\eta_t=\sum_{i\geq 0}\eta_i t^i \ : B\longrightarrow \Bbbk[[t]],\quad \varepsilon_t=\sum_{j\geq 0}\varepsilon_j t^j \ : B[[t]]\longrightarrow \Bbbk,$$

where we assume $\eta_t(t)=t$ and $\varepsilon_t(t)=t$, and

$$\alpha_t=\sum_{k\geq \grave{a}}\alpha_k t^k \ : B\longrightarrow B[[t]],\quad with\quad \alpha_k : B\longrightarrow B,$$

such that $B_t=(B[[t]],\mu_t,\eta_t,\Delta_t,\varepsilon_t,\alpha_t)$ is a Hom-bialgebra.

If we study only deformations of $B=(B,\mu_0,\eta_0,\Delta_0,\varepsilon_0,\alpha_0)$ in which the unit and the counit are conserved, that is $B_t=(B[[t]],\mu_t,\eta_0,\Delta_t,\varepsilon_0,\alpha_t)$, then we as consider a deformation as a triple $(\mu_t,\Delta_t,\alpha_t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases}
\mu_t\circ(\alpha_t\otimes\mu_t)=\mu_t\circ(\mu_t\otimes\alpha_t) & (\text{ formal Hom-associativity}),\\
(\Delta_t\otimes\alpha_t)\circ\Delta_t=(\alpha_t\otimes\Delta_t)\circ\Delta_t & (\text{ formal Hom-coassociativity}),\\
\Delta_t\circ\mu_t=\mu_t^{\otimes 2}\circ(id_B\otimes\tau\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta_t^{\otimes 2} & (\text{ formal compatibility}).
\end{cases}\tag{53}$$

The previous system is called deformation equation.

4.1 Deformations equation

Now, we discuss the deformation equation in terms of cohomology. The first problem is to give conditions about μ_i, Δ_j and α_k such that the deformation $(\mu_t, \Delta_t, \alpha_t)$ satisfies Hom-associativity, Hom-coassociativity and compatibility conditions.
We study equations (53) and thus characterize the deformations of Hom-bialgebras. The coefficients of t^s yields :

$$\begin{cases} \sum\limits_{\substack{i+j+k=s \\ i,j,k\geq 0}} (\mu_i \circ (\mu_j \otimes \alpha_k) - \mu_i \circ (\alpha_k \otimes \mu_j)) = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{\substack{i+j+k=s \\ i,j,k\geq 0}} (\Delta_j \otimes \alpha_k) \circ \Delta_i - (\alpha_k \otimes \Delta_j) \circ \Delta_i = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{\substack{i+j=s \\ i,j\geq 0}} (\Delta_i \circ \mu_j) = \sum\limits_{\substack{i+j+k+r=s \\ i,j,k,r\geq 0}} (\mu_i \otimes \mu_j) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ (\Delta_k \otimes \Delta_r) \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \end{cases}$$

This infinite system, called the deformation equation, gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for B_t to be a Hom-bialgebra. It may be written

$$\begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} (\mu_i \circ (\alpha_j \otimes \mu_{s-i-j}) - \mu_i \circ (\mu_{s-i-j} \otimes \alpha_j)) = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} (\Delta_{s-i-j} \otimes \alpha_j) \circ \Delta_i - (\alpha_j \otimes \Delta_{s-i-j}) \circ \Delta_i = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \left((\Delta_i \circ \mu_{s-i}) - \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s-i-j} (\mu_i \otimes \mu_j) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ (\Delta_k \otimes \Delta_{s-i-j-k}) \right) = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \end{cases}$$

We call α-associator the map

$$Hom\left(B^{\otimes 2}, B\right) \times Hom\left(B^{\otimes 2}, B\right) \longrightarrow Hom\left(B^{\otimes 3}, B\right), \ (\mu_i, \mu_j) \longmapsto \mu_i \circ_\alpha \mu_j$$

defined by

$$\mu_i \circ_\alpha \mu_j = \mu_i \circ (\alpha \otimes \mu_j) - \mu_i \circ (\mu_j \otimes \alpha).$$

We call α-coassociator the map

$$Hom\left(B, B^{\otimes 2}\right) \times Hom\left(B, B^{\otimes 2}\right) \longrightarrow Hom\left(B, B^{\otimes 3}\right), (\Delta_i, \Delta_j) \longmapsto \Delta_i \circ_\alpha \Delta_j$$

defined by

$$\Delta_i \circ_\alpha \Delta_j = (\Delta_j \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta_i - (\alpha \otimes \Delta_j) \circ \Delta_i.$$

By using α_j-associators and α_j-coassociators, the deformation equation may be written as follows

$$\begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} \mu_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \mu_{s-i-j} = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} \Delta_i \circ_{\alpha_j} \Delta_{s-i-j} = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \left((\Delta_i \circ \mu_{s-i}) - \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s-i-j} (\mu_i \otimes \mu_j) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ (\Delta_k \otimes \Delta_{s-i-j-k}) \right) = 0 \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \end{cases}$$

The first equations corresponding to $s = 0$, are the Hom-associativity condition for μ_0, the Hom-coassociativity condition for Δ_0 and the compatibility condition of μ_0 and Δ_0.
If the structure map is not deformed, then one gets the following system, where $\alpha_0 = \alpha$,

$$\begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s-1} \mu_i \circ_\alpha \mu_{s-i} = -\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}(\mu_1) \qquad s = 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} \Delta_i \circ_\alpha \Delta_{s-i} = -\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(\Delta_1) \qquad s = 1, 2, \cdots \\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s-1} \left((\Delta_i \circ \mu_{s-i}) - \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-i} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s-i-j} (\mu_i \otimes \mu_j) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ (\Delta_k \otimes \Delta_{s-i-j-k}) \right) = 0 \qquad s = 1, 2, \cdots \end{cases}$$

In particular, for $s = 1$ we have
• $\mu_0 \circ_\alpha \mu_1 + \mu_1 \circ_\alpha \mu_0 = 0$, which is equivalent to $\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}(\mu_1) = 0$,
• $\Delta_0 \circ_\alpha \Delta_1 + \Delta_1 \circ_\alpha \Delta_0 = 0$, which is equivalent to $\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}(\Delta_1) = 0$,
• the compatibility condition which is equivalent to $\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}(\mu_1) + \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}(\Delta_1) = 0$.
Therefore, we have

Proposition 4.2 *The first term (μ_1, Δ_1) of a deformation of a Hom-bialgebra, where the structure map is not deformed, is always a 2-cocycle for the Hom-bialgebra Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology.*

Definition 4.3 *A 2-cocycle (μ_1, Δ_1) is said to be integrable if there exists a pair $(\mu_t, \Delta_t)_{t\geq 0}$ such that $\mu_t = \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} \mu_i t^i$ and $\Delta_t = \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} \Delta_i t^i$ defining a formal Hom-bialgebra deformation $B_t = (B[[t]], \mu_t, \eta, \Delta_t, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ of B.*

4.2 Equivalent and trivial deformations

In this section, we characterize equivalent and trivial deformations of Hom-bialgebras.

Definition 4.4 *Let $B_0 = (B, \mu_0, \eta, \Delta_0, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. Given two deformations of B_0, $B_t = (B, \mu_t, \eta, \Delta_t, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ and $B'_t = (B, \mu'_t, \eta, \Delta'_t, \varepsilon, \alpha)$, where $\mu_t = \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} \mu_i t^i$, $\Delta_t = \sum\limits_{j\geq 0} \Delta_j t^j$, $\mu'_t = \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} \mu'_i t^i$, $\Delta'_t = \sum\limits_{j\geq 0} \Delta'_j t^j$ with $\mu'_0 = \mu_0$, and $\Delta'_0 = \Delta_0$.*
We say that they are equivalent if there is a formal isomorphism $\Phi_t : B \longrightarrow B[[t]]$ which is a $\Bbbk[[t]]$-linear map that may be written in the form $\Phi_t = \sum\limits_{i\geq 0} \Phi_i t^i$, where $\Phi_i \in End_\Bbbk(B)$ and $\Phi_0 = id_B$, such that

$$\Phi_t \circ \mu_t = \mu'_t \circ (\Phi_t \otimes \Phi_t), \tag{54}$$

$$\Phi_t \otimes \Phi_t \circ \Delta_t = \Delta'_t \circ \Phi_t \tag{55}$$

and

$$\Phi_t \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \Phi_t. \tag{56}$$

A deformation B_t of B_0 is said to be trivial if and only if B_t is equivalent to B_0.

We discuss in the following the equivalence of two deformations.
Equation (54) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i,j\geq 0}\left(\Phi_i\circ\mu_j\right)t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\mu_i'\circ\left(\Phi_j\otimes\Phi_k\right)t^{i+j+k}. \tag{57}$$

By identification of the coefficients, one obtains that the constant coefficients are identical, i.e.

$$\mu_0=\mu_0' \quad and \quad \Phi_0=id_B.$$

For the coefficients of t, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\Phi_0\circ\mu_1\right)+\left(\Phi_1\circ\mu_0\right) &= \mu_1'\circ\left(\Phi_0\otimes\Phi_0\right)+\mu_0'\circ\left(\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_0\right)+\mu_0'\circ\Phi_1\left(\Phi_0\otimes\right)\\ \mu_1+\Phi_1\circ\mu_0 &= \mu_1'+\mu_0\circ\left(\Phi_1\otimes id_B\right)+\mu_0\circ\left(id_B\otimes\Phi_1\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu_1'=\mu_1-\left(\mu_0\circ\left(\Phi_1\otimes id_B\right)-\Phi_1\circ\mu_0+\mu_0\circ\left(id_B\otimes\Phi_1\right)\right). \tag{58}$$

Equation (55) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i,j\geq 0}\left(\Delta_i'\circ\Phi_j\right)t^{i+j} = \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}\left(\Phi_i\otimes\Phi_j\right)\circ\Delta_k t^{i+j+k}.$$

Similarly for the comultiplication, setting $\Delta_0=\Delta_0'$ and $\Phi_0=id_B$, the coefficients of t leads to

$$\left(\Delta_0'\circ\Phi_1\right)+\left(\Delta_1'\circ\Phi_0\right)=\left(\Phi_0\otimes\Phi_0\right)\circ\Delta_1+\left(\Phi_0\otimes\Phi_1\right)\circ\Delta_0+\left(\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_0\right)\circ\Delta$$

Hence

$$\Delta_1'=\Delta_1+\left(id_B\otimes\Phi_1\right)\circ\Delta_0-\left(\Delta_0\circ\Phi_1\right)+\left(\Phi_1\otimes id_B\right)\circ\Delta. \tag{59}$$

Homomorphisms condition (56) is equivalent to $\sum\limits_{i\geq 0}\left(\Phi_i\circ\alpha\right)t^i=\sum\limits_{i\geq 0}\left(\alpha\circ\Phi_i\right)t^i$. Therefore

$$\Phi_i\circ\alpha=\alpha\circ\Phi_i, \text{ for all } i>0.$$

The first and second order conditions (58),(59) may be written

$$\mu_1'=\mu_1-\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}\left(\Phi_1\right) \quad and \quad \Delta_1'=\Delta_1+\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}\left(\Phi_1\right). \tag{60}$$

Therefore, we have the following fundamental observation.

Proposition 4.5 *The integrability of (μ_1,Δ_1) depends only on its cohomology class.*

Proof. Recall that two elements are cohomologous if their difference is a coboundary.
Equation $\delta^2_{Hom}\left(\mu_1,\Delta_1\right)=0$ implies that

$$\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}\left(\mu_1\right)=0,\ \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\left(\Delta_1\right)=0,\ \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}\left(\mu_1\right)+\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}\left(\Delta_1\right)=0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}\left(\mu_1'\right)&=\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}\left(\mu_1-\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}\left(f\right)\right)=\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}\left(\mu_1\right)-\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,H}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}\left(f\right)=0,\\ \delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\left(\Delta_1'\right)&=\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\left(\Delta_1+\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}\left(g\right)\right)=\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\left(\Delta_1\right)+\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,C}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}\left(g\right)=0,\\ \delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}\left(\mu_1'\right)+\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}\left(\Delta_1'\right)&=\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}\left(\mu_1-\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}\left(f\right)\right)+\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}\left(\Delta_1+\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}\left(g\right)\right)\\ &=\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}\left(\mu_1\right)+\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}\left(\Delta_1\right)-\left(\delta^{1,2}_{Hom,C}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}\left(f\right)-\delta^{2,1}_{Hom,H}\circ\delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}\left(g\right)\right)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\delta^2_{Hom}(\mu'_1, \Delta'_1) = 0$.
Equation $(\mu_1, \Delta_1) = \delta^1_{Hom}(f, g)$ implies that

$$\mu_1 = \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(f), \Delta_1 = \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(g)$$

and

$$\mu'_1 = \mu_1 - \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(\Phi_1) \quad and \quad \Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 + \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(\Phi_1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_1 &= \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(f) - \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(\Phi_1) = \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,H}(f - \Phi_1), \\ \Delta'_1 &= \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(g) + \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(\Phi_1) = \delta^{1,1}_{Hom,C}(g + \Phi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, if two integrable 2-cocycles are cohomologous, then the corresponding deformations are equivalent. ■

Proposition 4.6 *Let $B_0 = (B, \mu_0, \eta, \Delta_0, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. There is, over $\Bbbk[[t]]/t^2$, a one to-one correspondence between the elements of $H^2_{Hom}(B, B)$ and the infinitesimal deformation of B_0 defined by*

$$\mu_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t \quad and \quad \Delta_t = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 t. \tag{61}$$

Proof. Deformation equation is equivalent to $\delta^2_{Hom}(\mu_1, \Delta_1) = 0$, i.e. $(\mu_1, \Delta_1) \in Z^2_{Hom}(B, B)$. ■

In the following, we assume that $H^2_{Hom}(B, B) \neq 0$, then one may obtain nontrivial one-parameter formal deformations. Suppose now that

$$\mu_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \mu_2 t^2 + ... \quad and \quad \Delta_t = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 t + \Delta_2 t^2 + ...$$

are a one parameter family of deformation of (μ_0, Δ_0) for which

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = ... = \mu_{m-1} = 0 \quad and \quad \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = ... = \Delta_{m-1} = 0.$$

The deformation equation implies

$$\delta^1_{Hom}(\mu_m, \Delta_m) = 0 \quad \big((\mu_m, \Delta_m) \in Z^2_{Hom}(B, B)\big).$$

If further $(\mu_m, \Delta_m) \in B^2_{Hom}(B, B)$, then by considering the morphism $\Phi_t = id_B + \Phi_m t$, we obtain an equivalent deformation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_t &= \Phi_t^{-1} \circ \mu_t \circ (\Phi_t \otimes \Phi_t) = \mu_0 + \mu_{m+1} t^{m+1}; \\ \Delta'_t &= (\Phi_t \otimes \Phi_t) \circ \Delta_t \circ \Phi_t^{-1} = \Delta_0 + \Delta_{m+1} t^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

And again $(\mu_{m+1}, \Delta_{m+1}) \in Z^2_{Hom}(B, B)$.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $B = (B, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. Every nontrivial formal deformation is equivalent to a deformation $B_t = (B, \mu_t, \eta, \Delta_t, \varepsilon, \alpha)$ such that $\mu_t = \mu + \sum\limits_{i \geq p} t^i \mu_i$ and $\Delta_t = \Delta + \sum\limits_{j \geq p} t^j \Delta_j$, where (μ_p, Δ_p) is a 2-cocycle but not a 2-coboundary.*
Hence, if $H^2_{Hom}(B, B) = 0$ then every deformation of the Hom-bialgebra B is equivalent to a trivial deformation.

Hom-bialgebras for which every formal deformation is equivalent to a trivial deformation are said to be *analytically rigid*. The vanishing of the second cohomology group $(H^2_{Hom}(B, B) = 0)$ gives a sufficient criterion for rigidity.

4.3 Unital and Counital Hom-bialgebra Deformations

We discuss unitality and counitality of Hom-bialgebra deformations.

Proposition 4.8 *The unit (resp. the counit) of Hom-bialgebra B is also the unit (resp. the counit) of the formal deformation B_t of B if and only if*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu_n(x\otimes 1_B) & = & \mu_n(1_B\otimes x)=0 \quad \forall n\geq 1, \quad \forall x\in B, \quad \eta(1_{\Bbbk})=1_B \\ (resp.\ (id_B\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\Delta_n & = & (\varepsilon\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta_n=0 \quad \forall n\geq 1). \end{array}$$

Proof. The element 1_B is a unit for B_t if $\mu_t\circ(\eta\otimes id_B)=\mu_t\circ(id_B\otimes\eta)=\alpha$.

$$\forall x\in B,\ \mu_t(x\otimes 1_B)=\alpha(x),\ \ \mu_t(1_B\otimes x)=\alpha(x),\ \text{where } \mu_t=\sum_{i\geq 1}t^i\mu_i.$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{c} \mu_t(x\otimes 1_B)=\alpha(x)=\mu_0(x\otimes 1_B)+\sum_{i\geq 1}\mu_i(x\otimes 1_B)\,t^i \\ \alpha(x)=\alpha(x)+\sum_{i\geq 1}\mu_i(x\otimes 1_B)\,t^i \end{array}$$

By identification, we obtain $\mu_n(x\otimes 1_B)=0, \quad \forall n\geq 1$, and similarly $\mu_n(1_B\otimes x)=0, \quad \forall n\geq 1$.
The map ε is a counit for B_t if $(\varepsilon\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta_t=(id_B\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\Delta_t=\alpha$, where $\Delta_t=\sum\limits_{i\geq 1}t^i\Delta_i$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\varepsilon\otimes id_B)\left(\sum_{i\geq 0}\Delta_i t^i\right) & = & \alpha=(\varepsilon\otimes id_V)\circ\Delta_0+\sum_{i\geq 1}(\varepsilon\otimes id_V)\circ\Delta_i t^i \\ \alpha & = & \alpha+\sum_{i\geq 1}(\varepsilon\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta_i t^i. \end{array}$$

By identification, we obtain $(\varepsilon\otimes id_B)\circ\Delta_i=0,\ \forall i\geq 1$. Similarly $(id_B\otimes\varepsilon_j)\circ\Delta_i=0,\ \forall i\geq 1$. ■

Theorem 4.9 *Let $B=(B,\mu,\eta,\Delta,\varepsilon,\alpha)$ be a Hom-bialgebra with a surjective map α. Every nontrivial formal deformation $B_t=(B,\mu_t,\eta_t,\Delta_t,\varepsilon_t,\alpha)$ is equivalent to a unital and counital deformation with the same unit η and counit ε.*

Proof. We show that the unit is conserved by deformation Assume $\mu_t=\mu+\sum\limits_{i\geq p}t^i\mu_i$. Two deformations are equivalent if there is a formal isomorphism $\Phi_t=Id+t\Phi_1+t^2\Phi_2+\cdots$, where $\Phi_i\in End_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ such that (53) holds, which leads to

$$\mu_1'(x,y)=\mu_1(x,y)+f_1(\mu_0(x,y))-\mu_0(f_1(x),y)-\mu_0(x,f_1(y)). \tag{62}$$

Since μ_1 is a 2-cocycle, then $\sum_{i+j=1}\mu_i(\mu_j(x,y),\alpha(z))-\mu_i(\alpha(x),\mu_j(y,z))=0$.
We set $y=z=1$, respectively $x=y=1$ and $z=x$. Then, we obtain

$$\mu_1(\alpha(x),1)=\mu_0(\alpha(x),\mu_1(1,1)),\quad \mu_1(1,\alpha(x))=\mu_0(\mu_1(1,1),\alpha(x)). \tag{63}$$

If α is surjective, then we have

$$\mu_1(x,1) = \mu_0(x, \mu_1(1,1)), \quad \mu_1(1,x) = \mu_0(\mu_1(1,1), x). \tag{64}$$

We consider the formal isomorphism satisfying $f_1(1) = \mu_1(1,1)$, $f_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Using (62) and (63), the equivalent multiplication leads to a new deformed multiplication satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1'(x,1) &= \mu_1(x,1) + f_1(\mu_0(x,1)) - \mu_0(f_1(x),1) - \mu_0(x, \mu_1(1,1)) \\ &= \mu_1(x,1) + f_1(\alpha(x)) - \alpha(f_1(x)) - \mu_1(x,1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $\mu_1'(1,x) = 0$. By induction on n, we show that for all $n \geq 1$, $\mu_n'(1,x) = \mu_n'(x,1) = 0$. Indeed, we assume $\mu_k'(1,x) = \mu_k'(x,1) = 0$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$. We consider the isomorphism f_t satisfying $f_n(1) = \mu_n(1,1)$ and $f_k = 0$ $\forall k \neq n$. Then, using (62) and (63), we obtain $\mu_n'(1,x) = \mu_n'(x,1) = 0$.
Observe that the product $(1 + f_1 t^1) \cdots (1 + f_n t^n)$ converge when n tends to infinity.
Therefore, according to Proposition 4.8, the unit is conserved by deformation. The proof is similar for the counit. ■

4.4 Twistings and Deformations

In this section, we discuss the connection between twistings of Hom-bialgebras (see Proposition 1.22) and their formal deformations.

Proposition 4.10 *Let $B_t = (B[[t]], \mu_t, \eta_t, \Delta_t, \varepsilon_t, \alpha)$ be a formal deformation of a Hom-bialgebra $B = (B, \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0, \varepsilon_0, \alpha)$ and $\beta : B \longrightarrow B$ be a Hom-bialgebra morphism of B and B_t. Then $B_{t,\beta} = (B[[t]], \beta \circ \mu_t, \beta \circ \eta_t, \Delta_t \circ \beta, \varepsilon_t \circ \beta, \beta \circ \alpha)$ is a formal deformation of the Hom-bialgebra $B_\beta = (B, \beta \circ \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0 \circ \beta, \varepsilon_0, \alpha)$.*
Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_{t,\beta^n} = (B[[t]], \beta^n \circ \mu_t, \beta^n \circ \eta_t, \Delta_t \circ \beta^n, \varepsilon_t \circ \beta^n, \beta^n \circ \alpha)$ is a formal deformation of the Hom-bialgebra B_{β^n}.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 1.22. ■

Corollary 4.11 *Let $B = (B, \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0, \varepsilon_0)$ be a bialgebra and $\alpha : B \longrightarrow B$ be a bialgebra morphism (i.e. $\alpha \circ \mu_0 = \mu_0 \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$, $\Delta_0 \circ \alpha = (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta_0$, $\alpha \circ \eta_0 = \eta_0$ and $\varepsilon_0 \circ \alpha = \varepsilon_0$).*
If $B_t = (B[[t]], \mu_t, \eta_t, \Delta_t, \varepsilon_t)$ is a formal deformation of the bialgebra B and α is a bialgebra morphism for B_t (i.e. $\alpha \circ \mu_t = \mu_t \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$, $\Delta_t \circ \alpha = (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta_t$, $\alpha \circ \eta_t = \eta_t$ and $\varepsilon_t \circ \alpha = \varepsilon_t$).
Then $B_{t,\alpha} = (B[[t]], \alpha \circ \mu_t, \eta_t, \Delta_t \circ \alpha, \varepsilon_t, \alpha)$ is a formal deformation of the Hom-bialgebra $B_\alpha = (B, \alpha \circ \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0 \circ \alpha, \varepsilon_0, \alpha)$.

Proposition 4.12 *Let $B_t = (B, \mu_t, \eta_t, \Delta_t, \varepsilon_t, \alpha)$ and $B_t' = (B, \mu_t', \eta_t', \Delta_t', \varepsilon_t', \alpha)$ be two equivalent deformations of a Hom-bialgebra $B = (B, \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0, \varepsilon_0, \alpha)$. Then,*
$B_{t,\alpha^n} = \left(B[[t]], \alpha^n \circ \mu_t, \eta_t, \Delta_t \circ \alpha^n, \varepsilon_t, \alpha^{n+1}\right)$ and $B_{t,\alpha^n}' = \left(B[[t]], \alpha^n \circ \mu_t', \eta_t', \Delta_t' \circ \alpha^n, \varepsilon_t', \alpha^{n+1}\right)$ are equivalent deformations of the Hom-bialgebra $B_{\alpha^n} = \left(B, \alpha^n \circ \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0 \circ \alpha^n, \varepsilon_0, \alpha^{n+1}\right)$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We know that there exists a formal automorphism $\Phi_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Phi_i t^i$, where $\Phi_i \in End_{\mathbb{k}}(B)$ and $\Phi_0 = id_B$ such that $\Phi_t \circ \mu_t' = \mu_t \circ \Phi_t^{\otimes 2}$, $\Phi_t^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta_t' = \Delta_t \circ \Phi_t$, *and* $\Phi_t \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \Phi_t$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^n \circ \Phi_t \circ \mu'_t &= \alpha^n \circ \mu_t \circ \Phi_t^{\otimes 2},\ \Phi_t^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta'_t \circ \alpha^n = \Delta_t \circ \Phi_t \circ \alpha^n,\ \textit{and}\ \Phi_t \circ \alpha \circ \alpha^n = \alpha \circ \Phi_t \circ \alpha^n,\\ \Phi_t \circ \left(\alpha^n \circ \mu'_t\right) &= (\alpha^n \circ \mu_t) \circ \Phi_t^{\otimes 2},\ \Phi_t^{\otimes 2} \circ \left(\Delta'_t \circ \alpha^n\right) = (\Delta_t \circ \alpha^n) \circ \Phi_t,\ \textit{and}\ \Phi_t \circ \alpha^{n+1} = \alpha^{n+1} \circ \Phi_t.\end{aligned}$$

Hence B_{t,α^n} is equivalent to B'_{t,α^n}, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

Proposition 4.13 *Let $B_t = (V, \mu_t, \eta_t, \Delta_t, \varepsilon_t, \alpha)$ be a formal deformation of a Hom-bialgebra $B = (V, \mu_0, \eta_0, \Delta_0, \varepsilon_0, \alpha)$. Then B_{t,α^n} is equivalent to a formal deformation B'_{t,α^n} of a Hom-bialgebra B_{α^n} with the same unit and counit as B_{α^n}.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.9. Notice that surjectivity is not required. ■

References

[1] N. Aizawa, H. Sato, *q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B *256*(1), 185–190 (1991). N. Aizawa, H. Sato, Hiroshima University preprint HUPD-9012 (1990).

[2] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi, A. Makhlouf, *Cohomology and deformations of Hom-algebras*, J. Lie Theory **21**(4) (2011), 813–836 .

[3] F. Ammar, A. Makhlouf, *Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 1513–1528.

[4] S. Caenepeel, and I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra **39**, no. 6 (2011), 2216–2240.

[5] K. Dekkar, A. Makhlouf, *Bialgebra structures of 2-associative algebras*, The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, Volume **33**, number 2C (2008).

[6] Y. Du, X. Chen, and Y. Ye, *On graded bialgebra deformations*, Algebra Colloq. **14**, 301 (2007).

[7] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *Integrals for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and their applications*, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 073515, 22 pp (2013).

[8] M. Elhamdadi, A. Makhlouf, *Hom-quasi-bialgebras*, Contemp. Math. **585** (Eds. N. Andruskiewitch, J. Cuadra and B. Torrecillas), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2013).

[9] Y. Frégier , A. Gohr, S. D. Silvestrov, *Unital algebras of Hom-associative type and surjective or injective twistings*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **3**(4) (2009), 285–295.

[10] A. Frolicher and A. Nijenhuis, *A theorem on stability of complex structures*, Proc. Nat. Acad. ScL U.S.A. **43** (1957), 239–241.

[11] M. Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring.* Ann. Math. (2) **78** (1963), 267–288.

[12] M. Gerstenhaber, *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 59–104.

[13] M. Gerstenhaber, S.D. Schack, *Bialgebra Cohomology, Deformations, and Quantum Groups*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87** (1990), 478–481.

[14] M. Gerstenhaber, S.D. Schack, *Algebras, Bialgebras, Quantum Groups, and Algebraic Deformations*, Contemp. Math. **134** (1992), 51–92.

[15] A. Giaquinto, *Topics in algebraic deformation theory*. Higher structures in geometry and physics, 1–24, Progr. Math., **287**, Birkhuser/Springer, New York, 2011.

[16] A. Guichardet, *Groups quantiques, Introduction au point de vue formel*, InterEditions/CNRS Edition Paris, 1995.

[17] A. Gohr, *On Hom-algebras with surjective twisting*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 1483–1491.

[18] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S.D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations*, J. Algebra **295** (2006), 314–361.

[19] G. Hochschild, *On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra*, Ann. Math. (2) **46** (1945), 58-67.

[20] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **155**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[21] D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, *Quasi-Hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra **288** (2005) 321–344.

[22] A. Makhlouf, *Paradigm of nonassociative Hom-algebras and Hom-superalgebras*, Proceedings of the "Jordan Structures in Algebra and Analysis" Meeting (Eds. J. Carmona Tapia, A. Morales Campoy, A. M. Peralta Pereira, M. I. Ramirez Ilvarez), Publishing House: Circulo Rojo, 145–177 (2010).

[23] A. Makhlouf , S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. Vol **2** (2), (2008), 51–64.

[24] A. Makhlouf , S. D. Silvestrov*, Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras*, In "Generalized Lie theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond. S. Silvestrov, E. Paal, V. Abramov, A. Stolin, Editors". Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Chapter 17, pp 189-206, (2009).

[25] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. *9*(4), 553–589 (2010).

[26] A. Makhlouf , S. D. Silvestrov, *Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras*, Forum Math. **22**(4) (2010), 715–759.

[27] A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite, *Yetter-Drinfeld modules for Hom-bialgebras*, J. Math. Phys. *55*, 013501 (2014).

[28] A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite, *Hom-L-R-smash products, Hom-diagonal crossed products and the Drinfeld double of a Hom-Hopf algebra*, J. Algebra **441** (2015), 314–343.

[29] M. Mastnak, S. Witherspoon, *Bialgebra cohomology, pointed Hopf algebras, and deformations*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra Vol. **213**, Issue 7 (2009), 1399–1417

[30] B. Parshall, J.P and Wang, *On bialgebra cohomology*, Bulletin de la Soc. Math. de Belgique, t.XLII (1990), 607–641.

[31] Y. Sheng, *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **15**(6) (2012), 1081–1098.

[32] M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc. Publishers, New York, 1969.

[33] R. Taillefer, *Cohomology theories of Hopf bimodules and cup-product,* Algebr. Represent. Theory **7** (5) (2004), 471–490.

[34] R.N. Umble, *The deformation complex for differential graded Hopf algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **106** (1996), 199–222.

[35] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology*, J. Lie Theory **19** (2009), 409–421.

[36] D. Yau, *Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras and quasitriangular bialgebras*, J. Phys. A **42**(16), 165202, 12 pp (2009).

[37] D. Yau, *Hom-bialgebras and comodule Hom-algebras*, International Electronic Journal of Algebra **8** (2010), 45–64.

[38] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups I: quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras*, J. Phys. A **45**, no. 6, 065203, 23 pp (2012).

[39] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups II: Cobraided Hom-bialgebras and Hom-quantum geometry*, e-Print arXiv:0907.1880 (2009).

[40] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups III: Representations and module Hom-algebras*, e-Print arXiv:0911.5402 (2009).

[41] D. Yau, *The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 053502 (2011).

[42] X. Zhao, X. Zhang, *Lazy 2-cocycles over monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. **142**, no. 1, (2016), 61–81.

Addresses.

A. Makhlouf, Université de Haute Alsace, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Informatique et Applications, 4, rue des Frères Lumière F-68093 Mulhouse, France.
K. Dekkar, Université de Bordj Bou Arreridj, Algeria.
email : Abdenacer.Makhlouf@uha.fr, k.dekkar@univ-bba.dz